



JACKSON ARBORICULTURE INC.

CONSULTING AND GIS ANALYSIS

118 Pleasant Ridge Road, Brantford ON, N3R 0B8

905-512-6303, jeremy@jacksonarbor.ca

Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report

Subject Property:

7701 Lundy's Lane
Niagara Falls, ON

Prepared For:

1000706636 Ontario Inc.
BOX 870
Niagara-On-The-Lake, ON L0S 1J0

Prepared By:

Jackson Arboriculture Inc.
118 Pleasant Ridge Road
Brantford, ON N3R 0B8

9 October 2024

Jackson Arboriculture Inc. Project No. 525

1.0 Introduction

Jackson Arboriculture Inc. was retained by 1000706636 Ontario Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan report for a property situated at 7701 Lundy's Lane in the City of Niagara Falls, Ontario, hereby referred to as the subject property. It is understood that an application will be filed with the City for the construction of an addition to the residential building on site.

The following study has been completed in accordance with the City of Niagara Falls Site Plan Guidelines.

2.0 Methodology

At the onset of the project the scope of work was coordinated with the client and the consulting team. Prior to conducting a site visit, the topographic survey and current aerial photography were overlaid utilizing geographic information software for use on site during the completion of the tree inventory. The tree locations and the site plan were then overlaid and a tree preservation analysis was completed to determine the impacts to the trees included in the inventory.

2.1 Tree Inventory

Site visits were conducted on the 7th of October 2024 to complete the tree inventory. All trees 10 cm in diameter and larger situated within 10 m of the proposed development were included in the tree inventory. A visual assessment was completed on each tree included in the inventory and the following information is provided in the tree inventory table (Table 1):

- **Tree #:** A number assigned to each tree corresponding to the tree inventory (Table 1) and the Tree Preservation Plan (Sheet 1).
- **Species:** Common and scientific (Latin) species names.
- **DBH:** The trunk diameter at breast height, measured in centimeters at 1.4 m from the ground.
- **Condition:** The health of the tree considering the trunk integrity, the crown structure and the crown vigour; each rated as good, fair or poor. The condition ratings are based on the signs, symptoms and defects exhibited by each tree, considering the surroundings in which it is growing.
- **Dripline:** The distance from the trunk to the tips of the live branches.
- **mTPZ:** Minimum tree preservation zone distance as measured in meters from the base of the tree. This is the distance at which tree protection fence is to be installed (unless noted otherwise below).
- **Location:** The property where the tree is situated, based on the topographic survey and gps locations taken on site.
- **Comments:** Any additional notes relevant to the tree's health or growing conditions.
- **Recommendation:** The recommended removal or preservation of each tree based on the results of the impact assessment.

The trees included in the inventory were identified with numbers 1-7 and were located using the topographic survey provided and a tablet computer with a GPS receiver.

2.2 Impact Assessment

A tree preservation analysis was completed on each tree included in the tree inventory considering the impacts from the proposed development and many other factors including, but not limited to, tree condition, species, DBH and the existing site conditions. The impacts from the proposed development will occur where tree roots conflict with construction machinery during pre-grading, construction, grading and servicing.

During the tree preservation analysis the minimum Tree Preservation Zone (mTPZ) distance was utilized to determine the potential impacts to each tree included in the inventory. Where encroachment is required within the mTPZ, tree removal may be required.

The mTPZ distance is the minimum distance at which development can safely occur without considerably impacting a tree's root system. The mTPZ distance is based on the diameter of the tree and measured in meters from the base of the stem. Refer to Table 2 for the mTPZ distances based on trunk diameter.

Table 2. Minimum tree preservation zone distances.

DBH (cm)	Min. Tree Preservation Zone Distance (m)*
	Radius
< 10	1.8
11 – 40	2.4
41 – 50	3.0
51 – 60	3.6
61 – 70	4.2
71 – 80	4.8
81 – 90	5.4
91 – 100	6.0
101 – 110	6.6

*As measured from the outside of the tree trunk.

3.0 Existing Conditions

The subject property is currently occupied by a residential building and wedding chapel, including asphalt parking and amenity areas.

4.0 Tree Inventory Results

The results of the tree inventory indicate that a total of 7 trees 10 cm in diameter or larger reside within 10 m of the proposed development. The trees included in the inventory appear to be comprised of landscape plantings with some naturally occurring trees.

No rare, threatened or endangered tree species were documented in the tree inventory. Refer to Table 1 for the complete tree inventory and Sheet 1 for the tree locations.

5.0 Proposed Development

The proposed development includes the construction of a 6-storey addition to the existing building on site, including relocating the entrance from Lundy's Lane.

6.0 Discussion

The following sections discuss the tree removal requirements, tree preservation opportunities and tree preservation recommendations based on the results of the impact assessment.

6.1 Tree Removal

The results of the impact assessment indicate that the removal of Trees 1-5 will be required to accommodate the proposed development. Trees 1-4 conflict directly with excavation for the foundation of the proposed building, and Tree 5 conflicts with the relocation of the vehicular entrance to the property.

Trees 1-5 appear to reside partially or fully within the Regional road allowance. Permission from the appropriate Regional department will be required prior to their removal.

6.2 Tree Preservation

The results of the impact assessment indicate that the preservation of Trees 6 and 7 will be possible with the use of appropriate tree protection measures.

Tree protection fence must be installed at the mTPZ distance as outlined this report and on Sheet 1. Tree protection fence must be installed prior to the commencement of construction to ensure that the trees identified for preservation are not impacted by the proposed development.

Refer to Sheet 1 for the prescribed tree protection fence locations, additional tree protection plan notes and the tree protection fence detail.

6.3 Tree Preservation Recommendations

The following recommendations are made in attempts to reduce the impacts to trees identified for preservation:

- Tree protection fence must be installed at the mTPZ distance as outlined in this report and on Sheet 1.
- Once tree protection fence has been installed it must not be moved, relocated or altered in any way (unless repairing fallen fence etc.) for the duration of the construction period.

- No intrusion into an area identified on Sheet 1 as a tree preservation zone (TPZ) is allowed at anytime during construction unless noted otherwise in this report and on Sheet 1.
- No storage of machinery, construction debris, materials, waste or any other items is allowed within a TPZ.
- Any tree branches and roots that conflict with the proposed development must be pruned by a Certified Arborist in accordance with good arboricultural practice.
- Tree protection fencing should be inspected by a Certified Arborist prior to and during construction to ensure that the fencing remains intact and in good repair throughout the stages of development.

7.0 Summary

Jackson Arboriculture Inc. was retained by 1000706636 Ontario Inc. to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan report for a property situated at 7701 Lundy's Lane in the City of Niagara Falls, Ontario. A tree inventory was conducted and an impact assessment was completed in the context of the proposed development plan.

The tree inventory documented a total of 7 trees situated on subject property, in the road allowance and on neighbouring property within 6 m. The results of the impact assessment indicate that the removal of 5 trees will be required to accommodate the proposed development.

Respectfully submitted,
Jackson Arboriculture Inc.

Jeremy Jackson

Jeremy Jackson, H.B.Sc.,
ISA Certified Arborist #ON-1089A
GIS Analyst

8.0 Limitations of Assessment

It is our policy to attach the following limitations of assessment to ensure that the client, municipalities and agencies are fully aware of what is technically and professionally realistic when visually assessing and retaining trees.

The assessment of the trees presented in this report has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of the above ground parts of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of attack by insects, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of any lean, the general condition of the trees and the surrounding site, and the proximity of property and people.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms and their health and vigour constantly change. They are not immune to changes in site conditions, or seasonal variations in the weather conditions, including severe storms with high-speed winds.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the trees recommended for retention are healthy no guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees, or any parts of them, will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or group of trees or their component parts in all circumstances. Inevitably a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential for failure under adverse weather conditions, and the risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Although every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, trees should be re-assessed periodically. The assessment presented in this report is valid at the time of the inspection.

Table 1. Tree Inventory

Location: 2220 Stanley Ave, Niagara Falls

Date: 7 Oct. 2024

Surveyors: JJJ

Tree #	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	TI	CS	CV	DL	mTPZ	Location	Comments	Action
1	Japanese Flowering Lilac	<i>Syringa reticulata</i> 'Ivory Silk'	7, 2	FG	FG	FG	1	1.8	Regional ROW	Union at ground, 10% crown dieback	Remove
2	Japanese Flowering Lilac	<i>Syringa reticulata</i> 'Ivory Silk'	8	G	G	G	1	1.8	Regional ROW		Remove
3	Japanese Flowering Lilac	<i>Syringa reticulata</i> 'Ivory Silk'	8	FG	G	G	1	1.8	Regional ROW	Coppice growth	Remove
4	Japanese Flowering Lilac	<i>Syringa reticulata</i> 'Ivory Silk'	8	G	G	G	1	1.8	Regional ROW		Remove
5	Siberian Elm	<i>Ulmus pumila</i>	8, 9	F	FG	G	2	1.8	Boundary - Regional ROW/ Subject Property	Union at 0.5 m	Remove
6	Hackberry	<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	14	G	G	G	3	2.4	Regional ROW		Preserve
7	Black Walnut	<i>Juglans nigra</i>	12, 11, 8, 8, 8	F	FG	G	4	2.4	Subject Property	Union at ground, flare growing against a rock	Preserve

Legend		
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	(cm)
TI	Trunk Integrity	(G, F, P)
CS	Crown Structure	(G, F, P)
CV	Crown Vigor	(G, F, P)
DL	Dripline	(m)
mTPZ	Minimum Tree Preservation Zone	(m)
G	Good	
F	Fair	
P	Poor	
~	Estimate	