

## **Technical Memorandum**

Date:	August 11, 2022	Project No.:	300055529.0000
Project Name:	Species at Risk (SAR) Preliminary	Screening for	6259-6293 Dorchester Road
Client Name:	Panoramic Properties		
Submitted To:	Kevin Jasus, TULLOCH Engineeri	ng	
Submitted By:	Sarah Yoshida, B.Sc. (Env.) G. Ce	ert. E.R.	
Reviewed By:	Tricia Radburn, M.Sc.(PI), MCIP, F	RPP	

## 1.0 Introduction

R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited (Burnside) was retained by Panoramic Properties to complete a Species at Risk (SAR) preliminary screening of the lands located at 6259-6293 Dorchester Road in Niagara Falls, Ontario. The Study Area is shown on Figure 1.1 and is currently occupied by a residential property (the Study Area).

The Study Area includes two detached houses, a paved driveway, and three outbuildings. The surrounding area is occupied by residential properties.

A screening for potential Species at Risk (SAR) and SAR habitat has been conducted to ensure compliance with the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (2007). SAR and supporting habitats for SAR listed as Endangered or Threatened under the ESA are protected from negative impacts as a result of human activities in Ontario.

Technical Memorandum Project No.: 300055529.0000 August 11, 2022

Figure 1.1: Study Area





Photo 1: Single detached home at 6259 Dorchester Road.



Photo 2: Outbuilding 1, located in close proximity to the single-family residence at 6393 Dorchester Road.



Photo 3: Outbuilding 2, situated in the middle of the Study Area.



Photo 4: Outbuilding 3, located at the back of the Study Area and backing on to a treed area at the rear of the Study Area.

## 2.0 Species at Risk Screening

## 2.1 Screening Data Sources

For the purposes of this study, SAR are considered to be those species listed as Endangered (END), Threatened (THR), or Special Concern (SC) under Ontario's Endangered Species Act.

Multiple sources were first reviewed for species records and historical sightings of SAR within the Study Area and surrounding lands. Sources reviewed include:

- Aerial photography;
- MNRF Make a Map: Natural Heritage Areas;
- Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) database (Square 17PH5371);
- Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas (Square 17PH57); and
- Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (Square 17PH57).

Data downloaded from these data sources is provided in Appendix A.

## 2.2 Screening Results

According to the data sources noted above, a total of 41 SAR have previously been recorded within a 10 x 10 km square around the Study Area. These species, as well as their habitat preferences and probability to be found on the Study Area are summarized on Table 1 of Appendix B.

For most of the species, suitable habitat is not present in the Study Area. These species were likely recorded elsewhere in the10 x 10 km square.

Based on the SAR Screening Table, suitable habitat to support key life functions may be present on the Study Area for the following SAR species:

- Barn Swallow (Hirundo rustica) (THR);
- Chimney Swift (Chaetura pelagica) (THR);
- Little Brown Myotis (Myotis lucifugus) (END);
- Northern Myotis (Myotis septentrionalis) (END);
- Tri-colored Bat (Perimyotis subflavus) (END);
- Eastern Small-footed Myotis (Myotis leibii) (END);
- American Chestnut (Castanea dentata) (END);
- Butternut (Juglans cinera) (END); and
- White Wood Aster (*Eurybia divaricate*) (THR).

Field studies were conducted to confirm whether these species may be present, as documented in the following sections.

## 3.0 Field Methodology

Following the desktop analyses, field surveys were completed to determine whether any SAR inhabit the Study Area. The focus of surveys was on the habitat features that could potentially support SAR. The following surveys were conducted on June 13, 2022:

- Vegetation community characterization using the protocol outlined in Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario (Lee et al., 1998). For human influenced communities, more recent draft vegetation community descriptions were used.
- Observations of trees to identify the presence of features and tree types commonly used by SAR bats for maternal roosting (i.e., large, mature trees with peeling bark, small crevices / cavities, knot holes, dead leaf clusters on maple trees)
- Observations of each of the buildings present to look for signs of bat use, including points of entry into the building and evidence that would suggest bat roosting including guano, grease marks, urine splashes, and cobweb-free corners.
- Searches in buildings for Barn Swallow nests.
- Observations of each building for signs of suitable Chimney Swift habitat, including uncapped chimneys of suitable width for Chimney Swift nesting.
- Searches along hedgerows and treed areas for Butternut, American Chestnut and White Wood Aster<sup>1</sup>.
- Incidental wildlife observations including visual observations of animals, tracks, or scat.

# In addition, bat exit surveys were conducted to confirm whether SAR bats are inhabiting the outbuilding structures within the Study Area.

Exit Surveys were conducted as per the *Use of Buildings by Species at Risk Bats Survey Methodology* (Guelph District MNRF, 2014)<sup>2</sup> which adapted the methodologies described in the *Bat and Bat Habitat: Guidelines for Window Power Project* (MNRF, 2011)<sup>3</sup>. Surveys were surveyed for 90 minutes, from one half hour before sunset to an hour after sunset. Surveys were conducted under suitable weather conditions (i.e., during period of low wind and no rain).

Three stations were established to survey the three outbuilding structures (See Figure 1). Surveyors were positioned within viewing distance of potential exit points on structures. If bats were observed exiting a structure, the number of bats were recorded. Each surveyor was equipped with an Echo Meter Touch 2 Pro Bat Call Detector (Heterodynes) to record calls. The purpose of the acoustic surveys were to identify the species of bat present, while the purpose of the visual survey is to identify how the bats are using the subject lands (i.e., roosting or foraging). Survey conditions are summarized in Table 1 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Searches were conducted during a time that is not ideal for observing White Wood Aster; however, searches were used to identify whether suitable habitat exists for this species.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (Guelph District). 2014. Use of Buildings and Isolated Trees by Species at Risk Bats: Survey Methodology. October 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (OMNR). 2011. Bats and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects. Second Edition. July 2011.

#### Table 1: Exit Survey Weather Conditions

				Weather				
Survey No.	Staff Involved / Outbuilding	Date	Time	Precipitation / Cloud Cover <sup>1</sup>	Temperature (°C)	Wind (Beaufort Wind Scale) <sup>2</sup>		
1	S. Yoshida, Ecologist (1)	June 16, 2022	20:35 – 22:05	0 - 2	Start: 24°C	1 - 3		
	J. Bernardi, Aquatic Ecologist (3)				End: 22°C			
	J. Stalker, GIS Technician (2)							
2	M. Rizwan, Environmental Blanner (1)	June 23, 2022	20:30 – 22:00	0 - 1	Start: 23°C	1 - 2		
	M. Vickerv.				End: 19°C			
	Landscape Architect (2)							
	J. Bernardi, Aquatic Ecologist (3)							

1 NAAMP / Beaufort Sky Codes 0 = clear (no clouds); 1 = partly cloudy (scattered or broken) or variable; 2 = Cloudy or overcast; 3 = sandstorm, duststorm or blowing snow; 4 = fog, smoke, thick dust or haze; 5 = drizzle or light rain; 6 = rain, 7 = snow or snow / rain mix; 8 = showers; 9 = thunderstorms

2 Beaufort Wind Scale 0 = calm, smoke rises vertically (0-2 km/hr); 1 = light air movement, smoke drifts (3-5); 3 = gentle breeze, wind felt on face; leaves rustle (6-11); 4 = moderate breeze, small branches moving, raises dust & loose paper (20-30); 5 = fresh breeze, small trees begin to sway (31-39); 6 = strong breeze, large branches in motion (40-50)

## 4.0 Results and Discussion

The following table provides a summary of findings:

Table 2:	Presence absence of	of candidate	SAR	within	the Study	y Area.
			····			,

Species	Presence within the Study Area
Barn Swallow	Not present. No signs of nests were observed.
Chimney Swift	Not present. No suitable chimney habitats were observed.
Little Brown Myotis	Not present. Acoustic surveys did not record this species.
Northern Myotis	Not present. Acoustic surveys did not record this species.
Tri-colored Bat	Not present. Acoustic surveys did not record this species.
Eastern Small-footed Myotis	Not present. Acoustic surveys did not record this species.
American Chestnut	Not present. Not observed within the Study Area
Butternut	Not present. Not observed within the Study Area
White Wood Aster	Very unlikely to be present.

Detailed findings for each species are provided in the following sections.

## 4.1 Vegetation Community and SAR Plant Surveys

Vegetation surveys were completed to determine whether any SAR plants (i.e., Butternut, American Chestnut, or White Wood Aster) are present and to characterize treed habitats to determine if roosting habitat for SAR bats may be present. These vegetation communities can be seen on Figure 4.1.

Two vegetation communities were identified during field surveys, as follows:

#### CVR\_1 – Low-density Residential

The CVR\_1 community consisted of manicured turfgrass with White Ash (*Fraxinus americana*) regeneration adjacent to the outbuildings, Black Walnut (*Juglans nigra*), and horticultural plantings such Common Lilac (*Syringia vulgaris*) and Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*).

The vegetation in this community, which forms the majority of the Study Area, does not provide habitat for any species at risk.

#### TAGM5 – Hedgerow

The second vegetation community was a hedgerow community borders the entirety of the Subject Lands. The hedgerow community consisted of planted, young to mid-aged trees along the margins of the subject lands (Photos 5 - 7). Tree species present within the hedgerow include the following:

- Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*);
- White Ash;
- Silver Maple (Acer saccharinum);
- White Spruce (Picea glauca);
- Norway Spruce (Picea abies);
- Back Locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*);
- Black Walnut;
- Northern Hackberrry (Celtis occidentalis);
- Norway Maple (Acer platanoides);
- White Mulberry (*Morus alba*);
- Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*); and
- White Pine (*Pinus strobus*).

The hedgerow did not possess a distinct understory community. Shrub and vine species present include Wild Grape (*Vitis riparia*), European Buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*), Red Elderberry (*Sambucus racemosa*), English Ivy (*Hedera helix*), and Virginia Creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*). White Ash, Black Walnut and Manitoba Maple regeneration were also occasionally present within the understory.

Groundcover species were present throughout the hedgerow community. Species present were primarily non-native or invasive species including Ground Ivy (*Glechoma hederacea*), Garlic Mustard (*Alaria petiolata*), Periwinkle (*Vinca sp.*), and Greater Celandine (*Chelidonium majus*). Native species were commonly occurring, tolerant species including Fleabane (*Erigeron* sp.) and Goldenrod (*Solidago sp.*).

Burnside noted that clearing of the understory in the rear (west) end of the Study Area had recently been cleared to facilitate property line surveys. It is assumed that the understory and groundcover species present within the cleared areas were comparable to the rest of the hedgerow community.

No Butternut or American Chestnut were observed in the hedgerows on the Study Area. White Wood Aster typically flowers in early to mid-September and therefore could not be easily identified during the survey. However, due to the pervasiveness of invasive species, the relative high level of disturbance and limited forest cover, it is highly unlikely that this species is present.

There are relatively few large, mature trees that would support bat roosting. Most are along the western edge of the Study Area and in the property to the west. It is unlikely that large trees along the Study Area boundary will need to be removed. It is recommended that trees over

10 cm dBH should be maintained, where possible. If they must be removed, they should be cleared outside of the bat roosting season which runs from April 1 to September 30 of any given year. This is consistent with recent direction from the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks and, if followed, no permit under the *Endangered Species Act* is required.

#### Figure 4.1: Vegetation Communities



File Path: NetmoiShared Work Areas1055529 - Derchester RdISAR Screeering/Wew 055529 Dorchester Rd SAR Screeering/DorchesterRd\_SAR\_Screeering/WEW\_055529\_Dorchester\_RDNEW\_055529\_Dorchester\_RD apr. Print Date: 2022/08/10 Time: 03 41 PM



Photo 5: Southern hedgerow. This hedgerow was primarily comprised of shrubs.



Photo 6: Western hedgerow. Note where clearing has occurred.



Photo 7: Northern hedgerow.

#### 4.2 Barn Swallow

The interior and exterior of the buildings at 6259-6293 Dorchester Road were searched for evidence of BARS nesting. No intact nests or evidence of nesting / nesting attempts were observed on the exterior of the building despite suitable attachments sites being available. Barn Swallows were not observed foraging within the Study Area during field investigations.

## 4.3 Chimney Swift

Suitable chimneys were not observed on either of the single-family residences at 6293 or 6259 Dorchester Road.

#### 4.4 SAR Bats – Structure Surveys

During the field investigations, both the interior and exterior of the outbuildings were assessed for potential entry points for SAR bats, or areas that could be used as maternity roost sites or as day roosts. Potential ingress points included holes, large holes in the ceilings, gaps in the soffits, and gaps between wooden siding (Photos 4-9).



Photo 8: Gaps in the soffits of Outbuilding 1.



Photo 9: Open windows and doorways in Outbuilding 2.



Photo 10: Gaps in the ceiling of Outbuilding 2.



Photo 11: Gaps in the soffits of Outbuilding 2.



Photo 12: Gap in the soffit of Outbuilding 3.



Photo 13: Potential ingress point below the soffit of Outbuilding 1. Grease stains and potential guano are indicated in red. Chitinous debris was visible in the potential guano.

Several ingress points were identified along the three outbuildings. Evidence of guano and grease stains were observed near one potential ingress point on Outbuilding 1 (Photo 13). Subsequent searches of the interior portions of both buildings were conducted also did not yield any evidence suggesting bat occupancy. It should be noted that outbuildings were filled with debris and guano may not have been noticed.

Limited, poor quality, foraging habitat was present within the study area. However, suitable foraging habitat is available within proximity to the site including Hydro Canal located approximately 250 m west of the Subject Lands.

## 4.5 SAR Bats – Exit Surveys

Acoustic surveys and exit surveys were carried out to confirm that the buildings at 6259-6253 Dorchester Road are used as roosting habitat by SAR bat species. No SAR bat species were identified through acoustic and exit surveys. Observations taken during the time of the surveys are recorded in Table 2.

Date	Outbuilding No.	Visual Observation	Species Identified with the Echo Meter Touch 2 Pro
June 16, 2022	1	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period. Two bats were observed foraging in the first 30 minutes, three bats were observed foraging in the second 30 minutes. Ten bats were observed in the final 30 minutes.	Hoary Bat, Silver- haired Bat, Big Brown Bat, Eastern Red Bat
June 16, 2022	2	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period. Several non-SAR bats were noted as flyovers (foraging)	Hoary Bat, Silver- haired Bat, Big Brown Bat
June 16, 2022	3	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period. One bat was observed exiting the hedgerow during the first thirty minutes. A second bat was observed	Hoary Bat, Silver- haired Bat, Big Brown Bat

Table 3: Bat Survey Observations

Date	Outbuilding No.	Visual Observation	Species Identified with the Echo Meter Touch 2 Pro
		existing the hedgerow during the second thirty-minute interval.	
June 23, 2022	1	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period.	Hoary Bat, Silver- haired Bat, Big Brown Bat
June 23, 2022	2	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period.	No bats recorded on unit
June 23, 2022	3	No bats were observed existing the structure throughout the entirety of the survey period. Several bats were recorded as flyover (foraging).	Hoary Bat, Silver- haired Bat, Big Brown Bat

#### Table 4: Recorded Bats Calls

Station Inf	formation		Number of Recorded Events							
Date	Station	Big Brown Bat	Eastern Red Bat	Hoary Bat	Silver-haired Bat	Eastern Small Footed Myotis	Little Brown Myotis	Tri-colored Bat		
	1	3	1	40	0	0	0	0		
	2	5	0	14	0	0	0	0		
16-Jun-22	3	6	0	2	5	0	0	0		
	1	4	0	14	2	0	0	0		
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
23-Jun-22	3	42	0	14	2	0	0	0		
Total Recor	ded Events	60	1	84	9	0	0	0		
Total verifi	ed Events	53	1	82	4	0	0	0		
% of Verifi	88.3%	100%	97.6 %	44.4%						
<sup>1</sup> Cells shaded ir	n green indicate	a high pro	bability of	the speci	es being p	present (p < 0.	05)			

Cells shaded in green indicate a high probability of the species being present (p < 0.05) Cells shaded in orange have a moderate probability that the species is present (p 0.05 < 0.1) Cells shaded in red indicate a high probability of a false positive (p > 0.1)

Four (4) species of bat were detected by the Echo Meter Touch 2 Pro heterodynes: Big Brown Bat, Eastern Red Bat, Hoary Bat, and Silver-haired Bat. No SAR bats were recorded during exit surveys. Recordings were analyzed using Kaleidoscope Pro Software. As discussed in Table 3, bats were not observed exiting any of the three structures present within the Study Area. All species detected during the exit surveys were noted to be flyovers forging within the Study Area. All species detected in table 3 above, two (2) bats were also observed exiting from the northern hedgerow. Due to the small number of calls, the opinion of Burnside biologists that the Study Area has potential to be considered SAR bat habitat due to physical evidence indicating bat occupancy. Although the Study Area has potential to be SAR habitat, the surveys did not indicate any presence of SAR species. As such, it is presumed that SAR bats are not present and further acoustic surveys of structures are not required.

## 5.0 Summary of Findings, Recommendations, and Conclusions

Burnside has been retained by Panoramic Properties to investigate the Study Area (6259-6293 Dorchester Road) for potential species at risk as a part of the background studies and due-diligence process for the development of. A screening and surveys for potential SAR and SAR habitat has been conducted to ensure compliance with all applicable policies and legislation.

The Study Area is predominantly residential land use, with a wooded hedgerow area at the rear.

In total, nine (9) species at risk including two (2) avian species, four (4) mammalian, and three (3) flora species were identified to be potentially present within the Study Area. No evidence of SAR bats was present within the buildings at 6259-6293 Dorchester Road. No SAR plant species were documented within the Study Area during field studies. No suitable habitat for Barn Swallow or Chimney Swift were identified within the Study Area.

At risk bats were not present in any of the structures in the Study Area. It is recommended that trees over 10 cm dBH should be maintained, where possible. If they must be removed, they should be cleared outside of the bat roosting season which runs from April 1 to September 30 of any given year.

No permits or further studies are required for any of these species.

#### R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited

Sarah Yoshida, B.Sc. (Env.) G. Cert. E.R. Ecologist SY:js

Enclosure(s) Appendix A – Background Data Review Appendix B – SAR Screening Table

Other than by the addressee, copying or distribution of this document, in whole or in part, is not permitted without the express written consent of R.J. Burnside & Associates Limited.

055529\_Dorchester Road SAR Screening Memo.docx 8/11/2022 10:19 AM

#### 1. NHIC



NHIC Data

OGF ID	Element Type	Common Name	Scientific Name	SRank SARO St	atus COSEWIC	C Status ATLAS NAD83 IDENT COMMENTS
1037565	SPECIES	Round Hickorynut	Obovaria subrotunda	END	END	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Timber Rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus	EXP	EXP	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Northern Bobwhite	Colinus virginianus	END	END	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	American Water-willow	Justicia americana	THR	THR	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Deerberry	Vaccinium stamineum	THR	THR	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Eastern Pondmussel	Ligumia nasuta	END	SC	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Violet Bush-clover	Lespedeza frutescens			17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Pink Milkwort	Polygala incarnata	END	END	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Slim-flowered Muhly	Muhlenbergia tenuiflora			17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Appalachian Sedge	Carex appalachica			17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	American Chestnut	Castanea dentata	END	END	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Grass Pickerel	Esox americanus	SC	SC	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	Butternut	Juglans cinerea	END	END	17PH5371
1037565	SPECIES	White Wood Aster	Eurybia divaricata	THR	THR	17PH5371
1037565	RESTRICTED SPECIES	Restricted Species	Restricted Species			17PH5371
1037565	RESTRICTED SPECIES	RESTRICTED SPECIES	RESTRICTED SPECIES	END	END	17PH5371

To work further with this data select the content and copy it into your own word or excel documents.

#### 2. ORAA



## Species list in taxonomic order for square 17PH57

#### All species

Species #	Common Name	# of Records	Earliest Yr	Latest Yr		
3	Midland Painted Turtle	5	1934	2019		
5	Red-eared Slider	1	2017	2017		
6	Snapping Turtle	2	1967	2015		
10	Dekay's Brownsnake	6	1993	2018		
12	Eastern Gartersnake	46	1905	2019		
18	Milksnake	18	1934	2019		
19	Northern Watersnake	9	1989	2018		
21	Red-bellied Snake	3	1990	2018		
25	American Bullfrog	2	2007	2014		
27	Gray Treefrog	1	2017	2017		
28	Green Frog	33	1967	2019		
30	Northern Leopard Frog	18	1967	2015		
32	Spring Peeper	6	1967	2010		
33	Western Chorus Frog	65	2004	2019		
34	Wood Frog	6	1967	2017		
35	American Toad	31	1967	2018		
37	Allegheny Mountain Dusky Salamander	27	1989	2018		
38	Blue-spotted Salamander	2	2010	2010		
40	Red-spotted Newt	4	1967	2015		
41	Eastern Red-backed Salamander	126	1968	2019		
44	Mudpuppy	3	1967	2017		
45	Northern Dusky Salamander	72	1989	2018		
48	Spotted Salamander	2	1999	2018		

#### Number of rows of data displayed below: 23.

#### 3. OBBA





#### Square Summary (17TPH57) [change]

		#spe	cies		#ho	urs	#pc done		
	poss	prob	conf	total	total	peak	road	offrd	
Curr.	20	18	31	69	69.1	26.7	0	1	
Prev.	7	20	64	91	137.5	_	2	5	

## Region summary (#11: Niagara, ON)

#squa	squares #sq with		ies #squ	#squares (pc)			
	dat	ta	targe	et compl.			
25	25	5 128	3 25	0			
25	25	5 16	1 0	25			

Target number of point counts in this square: 25 in total: 20 road side, 5 off road (Broadleaf Forest in 3, Mixed Forest in 1, Shrubland in 1). Please try to ensure that each off-road station is located such that the entire 100m radius circle is within the prescribed habitat.

SPECIES	Prev.	Code	%	SPECIES	Prev.	Code	%	SPECIES	Prev.	Code	%
Canada Goose	FY	FY	72	American Woodcock	FY	т	36	Red-bellied Woodpecker	CF	FY	60
Mute Swan			16	Wilson's Snipe ‡			4	Downy Woodpecker	FY	D	72
Trumpeter Swan ‡			4	Spotted Sandpiper	NY	FY	52	Hairy Woodpecker	NY	н	40
Wood Duck	Р		48	Ring-billed Gull §	NY	NY	28	Pileated Woodpecker ‡			16
Blue-winged Teal ‡			4	Herring Gull §	NY	NY	12	Northern Flicker	FY	т	64
Northern Shoveler ‡			0	Great Black-backed Gull †			0	American Kestrel §	Р	н	52
Gadwall ‡			0	Caspian Tern ‡			4	Merlin ‡			8
American Wigeon ‡			0	Common Tern § ‡	NY	FY	16	Peregrine Falcon ‡	NY	FY	8
Mallard	FY	FY	72	Double-crested Cormorant §		NY	28	Eastern Wood-Pewee §	FY	S	60
American Black Duck ‡			0	American Bittern ‡			0	Acadian Flycatcher †	S		0
Northern Pintail ‡			0	Least Bittern †			12	Alder Flycatcher	т		28
Green-winged Teal ‡			0	Great Blue Heron §			32	Willow Flycatcher	т	S	56
Hooded Merganser			16	Great Egret †		NY	16	Least Flycatcher	т		16
Wild Turkey	FY	S	48	Green Heron §	н		28	Eastern Phoebe	Р	н	44
Ruffed Grouse ‡			0	Black-crowned Night-Heron †	NY	н	12	Great Crested Flycatcher	т	S	68
Gray Partridge †			0	Black Vulture †			0	Eastern Kingbird	NY	н	64
Ring-necked Pheasant ‡	FY		4	Turkey Vulture	FY	н	56	White-eyed Vireo †			0
Pied-billed Grebe	Р		0	Osprey			20	Yellow-throated Vireo ‡			4
Rock Pigeon (Feral Pigeon)	FY		44	Northern Harrier ‡	Р		12	Blue-headed Vireo ‡			0
Mourning Dove	AE	D	80	Sharp-shinned Hawk ‡			0	Warbling Vireo	т	т	68
Yellow-billed Cuckoo			28	Cooper's Hawk	FY	NY	44	Red-eyed Vireo	NY	S	72
Black-billed Cuckoo	S	S	28	Bald Eagle ‡			28	Blue Jay	CF	AE	68
Common Nighthawk ‡	Т		0	Red-shouldered Hawk ‡			0	American Crow	CF	NB	64
Eastern Whip-poor-will ‡			4	Red-tailed Hawk	NY	т	76	Fish Crow †			8
Chimney Swift §	AE		28	Barn Owl †			0	Common Raven ‡			40
Ruby-throated Hummingbird	P	н	60	Eastern Screech-Owl	Т		24	Black-capped Chickadee	FY	CF	76
Virginia Rail			4	Great Horned Owl	AE	т	76	Tufted Titmouse			36
Sora			12	Long-eared Owl ‡			0	Horned Lark §	FY	FY	52
Common Gallinule ‡			4	Short-eared Owl †			4	Northern Rough-winged Swallow	Р	н	52
American Coot ‡			4	Northern Saw-whet Owl ‡			0	Purple Martin §	AE		40
Sandhill Crane ‡			12	Belted Kingfisher	н	н	56	Tree Swallow	FY	н	60
Killdeer §	FY	DD	80	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker ‡			0	Bank Swallow §	AE		36
Upland Sandpiper †			0	Red-headed Woodpecker †			20	Barn Swallow §	NY	NY	68

SPECIES	Prev.	Code	%	SPECIES	Prev.	Code	%	SPECIES	Prev.	Code	e %
Cliff Swallow §	FY		44	Swamp Sparrow			28	Northern Cardinal	FY	D	80
Golden-crowned Kinglet ‡			0	Eastern Towhee §		S	44	Rose-breasted Grosbeak	NY	Т	52
Red-breasted Nuthatch ‡	FY	S	12	Yellow-breasted Chat †			0	Indigo Bunting	NY	Т	48
White-breasted Nuthatch	Р		40	Bobolink §	NE		32				
Brown Creeper ‡			0	Eastern Meadowlark §	NE		36				
Blue-gray Gnatcatcher			24	Orchard Oriole		Т	24				
House Wren	AE	CF	72	Baltimore Oriole	AE	NY	72				
Winter Wren ‡	N		4	Red-winged Blackbird	NY	NY	84				
Sedge Wren ‡			0	Brown-headed Cowbird	Р	V	72				
Marsh Wren			20	Common Grackle	NY	CF	64				
Carolina Wren	N	Т	80	Ovenbird			12				
European Starling	AE	CF	80	Louisiana Waterthrush †			0				
Gray Catbird	FY	CF	64	Northern Waterthrush ‡			0				
Brown Thrasher	FY	S	40	Golden-winged Warbler †			0				
Northern Mockingbird	NY	S	28	Blue-winged Warbler			24				
Eastern Bluebird	NY	D	52	Black-and-white Warbler ‡			0				
Veery	S		16	Nashville Warbler ‡			0				
Wood Thrush §	FY		44	Mourning Warbler ‡			0				
American Robin	AE	NE	88	Common Yellowthroat	V	FY	60				
Cedar Waxwing	FY	Т	52	Hooded Warbler	S		16				
House Sparrow	NY	NY	72	American Redstart	CF		24				
House Finch	NY	Т	48	Cerulean Warbler †			0				
Purple Finch ‡			8	Northern Parula ‡			0				
Pine Siskin ‡	н		0	Magnolia Warbler ‡			0				
American Goldfinch	NY	FY	76	Blackburnian Warbler ‡			0				
Grasshopper Sparrow §	FY		4	Yellow Warbler	CF	CF	76				
Chipping Sparrow	FY	CF	72	Chestnut-sided Warbler ‡			4				
Clay-colored Sparrow ‡			0	Black-throated Blue Warbler ‡			0				
Field Sparrow §	FY	CF	48	Pine Warbler ‡			4				
Vesper Sparrow	т		24	Prairie Warbler †			0				
Savannah Sparrow	FY	Т	56	Black-throated Green Warbler ‡			0				
Song Sparrow	AE	FY	76	Canada Warbler ‡			0				
Lincoln's Sparrow ‡			0	Scarlet Tanager	CF	Р	24				

#### Breeding Bird Atlas - Summary Sheet for Square 17TPH57 (page 2 of 2)

80 52 48

This list includes all breeding species expected in the region #11 (Niagara). Underlined species are those that you should try to add to this square (17TPH57). They have not yet been reported in this square, but have been reported in more than 50% of the squares in this region so far. "Prev." is the code for the highest breeding evidence for that species in square 17TPH57 in the previous atlas. "Code" is the code for the highest breeding evidence for that species in square 17TPH57 over the last 5 years. The % columns give the percentage of squares in that region where that species was reported (this gives an idea of the expected chance of finding that species in region #11). Rare/Colonial Species Report Forms should be completed for species marked: § (Species of interest), ‡ (regionally rare), † (provincially rare). An up-to-date version of this sheet is available from <a href="https://www.birdscanada.org/naturecounts/atlas/summaryform.jsp?squarelD=17TPH57&lang=EN">https://www.birdscanada.org/naturecounts/atlas/summaryform.jsp?squarelD=17TPH57&lang=EN</a> Data current as of 9/06/2022 22:09.

	SCIENTIFIC NAME	Provincial S- RANK <sup>1</sup>	Provincial SARO Status <sup>2</sup>	COSEWIC <sup>3</sup>	Federal SARA Status <sup>3</sup>	Federal SARA Schedule <sup>4</sup>	Habitat Description	Habitat Present in Study Area?	Species Observed?
Birds									
							Generally requires large areas of mature, undisturbed forest; avoids the forest edge; often found in well wooded swamps and ravines.7	No potential within subject lands. Wooded areas are limited in extent and are highly disturbed due to edge effects and proximity	
Acadian Flycatcher	Empidonax virescens	S2S3B	END	END	END	1	Desfers desiduous and wived desiduous ferent and bilities	to urbanizeed areas	No
Pold Eagle	Heliopetus lauceensbelus	52NI 54D	sc.	NAD	NAD	Ne sebedulo	Prefers deciduous and mixed deciduous forest and natital close to water bodies such as lakes and rivers. They roost in "supercanopy" trees such as pine.7	No potential within subject lands. FOD/FOM ecosites are also absent from cubicct lands	No
Daiu Eagle		32N,34D	30	INAN	INAN	NO SCHEQUIE	Prefers open habitats including farmland lake/river shorelines	subject failus.	INO.
Bank Swallow	Rinaria rinaria	S4B	THR	THR	THR	1	grasslands, and wetlands. Nests in exposed earthen banks along shorelines and in artificial sites such as gravel pits.7	No potential within subject lands. Suitable nesting habitat not present within property limits	No
	r nguna nguna						Prefers farmland, lake/river shorelines, wooded clearings, urban populated areas, rocky cliffs, and wetlands. Nests inside or on exterior of buildings; under bridges and in road culverts; on rock faces, and in caves, etc.8	Low Potential. Suitable netsing habitat is available. Nearby foraging habitat is	
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	S4B	THR	THR	THR	1		limited.	No
							Generally prefers open grassiantos and nay netos for nesung, uplicany featuring relatively tall vegetation. Sometimes uses large fields of winter wheat and rye in southwestern Ontario. Sensitive to vegetation structure and composition. Positively associated with high grass-to- forb ratios; moderate litter depth; tolerate wetter portions of fields compared to Eastern Meadowlark (EANE) and more likely to nest closer to field centres rather than field margins. Lower tolerance to presence of patches of bare ground. Appear to prefer larger fields than EAME.9	No potential. Suitable nesting habitat not present within subject	
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	S4B	THR	THR	THR	1		lands.	No
Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus	S2N,S4B	sc	SC	SC	1	Generally prefers a wide variety of open habitats, including grasslands, peat bogs, marshes, sand-sage concentrations, old pastures and agricultural fields.7	No potential. On-site habitats are restricted to hedgerows and manicured turfgrass.	No
Cerulean Warbler	Setophaga cerulea	S3B	THR	END	END	1	Generally found in mature deciduous forests with an open understorey also nests in older, second-growth deciduous forests.7	No potential. Suitable habitat is not present.	No
Golden-winged Warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera	S4B	sc	THR	THR	1	Generally prefer areas of early successional vegetation, found primarily on field edges, hydro or utility right-of-ways, or recently logged areas.7	No potential. On-site habitats are restricted to hedgerows and manicured turfgrass	No
Yellow-breasted Chat	Icteria virens	S2B	END	END	END	1	Prefers scrubby, early successional habitats. In Ontario, the Yellow- breasted Chat uses regenerating old fields, forest edges, railway and hydro rights-of-way, young coniferous reforestations and occasionally wet willow-ash-elm thickets bordering wetlands. Tangles of grape and raspberry are also a habitat feature of most breeding sites.7	No potential. Easrly succesional thicket habitats are not present within the Subject Lands.	Νο
		520		2.10	2.10	· · · · ·	A colonial breeder, choosing locations on islands with treed or shrubby	No potential. Suitable	
Great Egret	Ardea alba	S2B	No status	No status	No status	No schedule	habitat. In marsh habitat, this species will choose to nest at much lower heights in shrubs or even just above ground or water surface in shrubs or other marsh vegetation.7	nesting habitat not present within subject lands.	No
							rvests on cilits near water bodies, or at urban sites such as tall buildings, bridges, and smokestacks.7	nesting habitat not present within subject	
Peregrine Falcon	Falco peregrinus	S3B	SC	NAR	SC	1		lands.	No
Canada Warbler	Cardellina canadensis	S4B	SC	THR	THR		Generally prefers wet coniferous, deciduous and mixed forest types, with a dense shrub layer. Nests on the ground, on logs or hummocks, and uses dense shrub layer to conceal the nest.7	No potential. Suitable nesting habitat not present within subject lands	No
		0-0		11101	TTTTT	1 1		porrao.	

				1		Generally prefer semi-open deciduous forests or patchy forests with	No potential, no suitable	
						clearings: areas with little ground cover are also preferred. In Ontario	habitat within subject	
						its preferred babitate include rock or cond barrons with costfored trace	lands Wooded areas are	
						asyonnaba, ald huma in a state of early forest succession, and open	limited in extent and are	
						savalilaris, olu bullis ili a state ol early lolest succession, and open	highly disturbed due to	
						conner plantations.7	nighty disturbed due to	
							euge ellects and	
							proximity to urbanizeed	
Eastern Whin near will	A streate sure ve sifer ve	CAD	тир	тир	TUD		areas	No
Eastern whip-poor-will	Antrostomus vocilerus	34D	INK	Ink	INK	I listerially pasted in large hollow trees, other tree equities and grades		NU
						Historically nested in large noilow trees, other tree cavities and cracks	Low potential No.	
						in chins. Currentily, most are found in developed areas in large,	cow potential. No	
						uncapped chimneys. Proximity to lakes is also a preferred habitat	chimneys and lew roost	
						feature as they will forage for flying insects close to water.7	trees are not present	
							within the subject lands	
							or immediate vicinity of	N
Chimney Swift	Chaetura pelagica	S4B,S4N	THR	THR	IHR		the site.	NO
						inests in open nabitats, in forests and in urban areas. It prefers rock		
						outcrops, alvars, sand barrens, bogs, tens, and in forests, openings	No notontial Suitable	
						created by clearcuts and burns. In southern Ontario, grasslands,	No potential. Suitable	
						agricultural fields, gravel pits, prairies, and alvars and at airports. In	nesting sites (i.e. gravei	
						cities, it nests mostly on flat, graveled roots but occasionally on	patnways) are not	
Common Nighthawk	Chordelles minor	S4B	SC	sc	THR	1 railways and tootpaths.7	present.	NO
						Generally preters grassy pastures, meadows and hay fields. Prefers		
						moderately tall grass with abundant litter cover, a high proportion of		
						grass cover, moderate forb density, low proportions of shrub and	INO SUITADIE habitat	
						woody vegetation cover, and low percent of bare ground. Prefers to	present within subject	
						nest in drier sites and frequently nests around field margins.9	lands or surrounding	
Eastern Meadowlark	Sturnella magna	S4B	THR	THR	THR	1	area.	No
						Prefers open space near the nest in the form of forest edges,		
						clearings, roadways, and water. Does not require large areas of	No potential. Wooded	
						woods but occurs less frequently in woodlots surrounded by	areas are present but are	
						development than in those without.7	limited in extent and are	
							highly disturbed and	
							surrounded by	
							development.	
Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	S4B	SC	SC	SC	1		No
						Generally prefer areas of early successional vegetation, found	No potential. No	
						primarily on field edges, hydro or utility right-of-ways, or recently	shrublans or mid-	
						logged areas.7	successional forests	
							present within subject	
							lands or within the site	
							vicinity.	
Golden-winged Warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera	S4B	SC	THR	THR	1		No
						Prefers drier, sparsely vegetated grasslands, particularly rough or	No suitable babitat	
						unimproved pastures with scattered forb and shrub growth, at least 30	procept within cubicat	
						ha in size. It will occasionally also use cultivated hayfields and cereal	present within subject	
0	A	0.45		00		crops.7	lands of suffounding	No
Grassnopper Sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	54B	50	SC	50		area.	NO
						Generally inhabits mature forests along steeply sloped ravines	INO SUITADIE NADITAT WITHIN	
						adjacent to running water. It prefers clear, cold streams and densely	subject lands or	
						wooded swamps.7	surrounding areas.	
							Extent of wooded area	
							and canopy cover is not	
	L		L	L			sufficient to be	
Louisiana Waterthrush	Parkesia motacilla	S3B	IHR	THR	SC	1	considered "forest".	NO
						Most frequently found in marshes of at least 5 ha, although much		
						smaller marshes, including sites such as cattail stands along creeks		
						and farm ponds partially filled with cattail, may be used occasionally.		
						Breeding sites typically dominated by cattail, but also sometimes		
						bulrush, grasses, horsetail, and willow. Nests usually close to edge of	No suitable habitat within	
						a stand of vegetation or near openings such as muskrat trails,	subject lands or	
						although may be as far as 45 m from open water.7	surrounding areas.	
Least Bittern	Ixobrychus exilis	S4B	THR	THR	THR	1		No
						Breeds in open woodland and woodland edges, especially oak	No potential. Wooded	
						savannah and riparian forest. These habitats can occur in parks, golf	areas are present but are	
						courses, cemeteries and private woodlands. Existence of large, dead,	limited in extent and are	
						weathered trees or live trees with large dead branches are an	highly disturbed and	
						important characteristic of habitat.7	surrounded by	
							development. No large	
							dead trees or thick	
Red-headed Woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	S4B	SC	END	THR	1	understory are present.	No

							Inhabits and breeds in woodlands ranging from small (3 ha) and isolated to large and contiguous. The presence of tall trees and a thick understorey are usually prerequisites for site occupancy.7	No potential. Wooded areas are present but are limited in extent and are highly disturbed and surrounded by	
Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	S4B	SC	THR	THR	1		development	No.
Fish		1	1	1	1	1	Generally occur in wetlands with warm shallow water and an	No potential Shallow open	
							abundance of aquatic plants; occur in the St. Lawrence River, Lake	water areas or	
							Ontario, Lake Erie, and Lake Huron.20	watercourses are not	
Grass Pickerel	Esox americanus vermiculatus	S3	SC	SC	SC	1		present.	No
							Overwintering habitat: Caves and abandoned mines. According to the Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Small-footed Myotis in Ontario, summer / roosting habitats used by the species in Ontario are poorly understood, but elsewhere in its range it primarily roosts in open, sunny rocky habitats, and, occasionally, in buildings. Summer roosts for this species are believed to be located in close proximity to their hibernacula (i.e., less than 100 m). The species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range which also contain bibernacula (i.e., et and the sum of the species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range which also contain bibernacula (i.e., and the species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range which also contain bibernacula (i.e., and the species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the professional and the species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the professional and the species' preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those rocky range the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to those roos in the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home range to the preference for rocky habitats in summer may limit an individual's home r	Very low potential. Small outbuildings on-site may provide suitable roosting pabitat However site is	
							Canadian Shield areas containing abandoned mines with adits).16	>100 meters from a	
Eastern Small-footed Myotis	Myotis leibii	S2S3	END	END	No status	No schedule	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	suitable hibernacula site.	No
Little brown Myotis	Myotis lucifugus	S4	END	END	END	1	Overwintering habitat: Caves and mines that remain above 0 degrees Celsius. Maternal Roosts: Often associated with buildings (attics, barns etc.). Occasionally found in trees (25-44 cm dbh).15	Low potential. Small outbuildings on-site may provide suitable roosting habitat.	No
							Overwintering habitat: Deepest parts of caves and mines where temperature is the least variable. Maternal Roosts: Less is known about roosts of Tri-colored Bats. Most roost sites found within forested habitats. May roost in clumps of dead foliage and lichens. In more anthropogenically modified landscapes, maternity roosts may be barns or similar human-made structures.15		
Tri-colored Bat	Perimyotis subflavus	S3?	END	END	END	1		Low potential. Small outbuildings on-site may provide suitable roosting habitat.	No
							Overwintering habitat: Caves and mines that remain above 0 Maternal Roosts: Often associated with cavities of large diameter trees (25-44 cm dbh). Occasionally found in structures (attics, barns etc.)15		
								Low potential. Small	
								outbuildings on-site may	
Northern Myotis	Myotis septentrionalis	S3	END	END	END	1		habitat.	No
Molluscs									
Eastern Pondmussel	Ligumia nasuta	S1	END	sc	END	1	Generally inhabit sheltered areas of lakes or slow streams in substrates of fine sand and mud.10	watercourse present in study area.	No
							Generally found in rivers with clay, sand or gravel bottoms. It also lives in shallow areas of lakes with firm sand. It prefers moderately fast moving water.10	No potential. Shallow open water areas or watercourses are not	
Round Hickorynut	Ubovaria subrotunda	151	END	END	END	1		present.	NO
							Generally prefers waterbodies such as ponds, marshes, lakes and slow-moving creeks that have a soft bottom and provide abundant basking sites and aquatic vegetation.14		
Midland Painted Turtle	Chrysemys picta marginata	S4	NAR	sc	NAR	No schedule		No potential. Shallow open water areas are not present.	No

						Generally prefer rocky woodland streams, seepages, and springs	No potential. Shallow	
						where water is running or trickling.20	open water areas are not	
Northern Dusky Salamander	Desmognathus fuscus	S1	END	END	END	1	present.	No
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					Generally inhabit shallow waters where they can hide under the soft		
						mud and leaf litter. Nesting sites usually occur on gravely or sandy		
						areas along streams. Snapping Turtles often take advantage of man-	No potential. Shallow	
						made structures for nest sites, including roads (especially gravel	open water areas are not	
Snapping Turtle	Chelvdra serpentina	\$3	sc	sc	sc	1 shoulders) dams and aggregate nits 10	present.	No
						This species was found along the Niagara Escarpment, primarily in the		
						Niagara area. The most recent confirmed records of this rattlesnake in		
						Ontario are from the Niagara Gorge in the 1940s		
						ontano are nom are magara conge in the 10403.		
						This appairs assure throughout the eastern and control United States		
Timber Rattlesnake	Crotalus borridus	SY	EYD	EYP	EYP	O although it is locally extirnated in many areas	No	No
	Crotald's Hornda's	57				Turioully found in an near forested small streams, environ	110.	
						I ypically found in or near forested small streams, springs, or seeps		
						(areas where water in the ground oozes to the surface to form a pool).		
						They typically nest in underground cavities close to seeps, or in		
						shallow depressions in moist soil beneath logs, stones, moss, leaf litter	1	
						or stumps. They are usually absent from larger streams where		
						predatory fish occur. Other predators include watersnakes and birds.		
Allegheny Mountain Dusky Salamander							No.	NO
Vegetation								
						Generally grows along shorelines and sometimes in nearby wetlands,	No potential. Shallow	
						as well as along streams where the bottom is composed of gravel,	open water areas or	
American water-willow	Justicia americana	S2	THR	THR	THR	1 sand or organic matter.20	wetlands are not present.	No
				1		Generally occurs on sandy and well-drained soil, often in dry open		
						woodlands (Niagara Gorge).20	No potential. Site is not	
							an open-oak pine	
							woodland and savannah	
							and does not occur in the	
							Niagara Gorge.	
Deerberry	Vaccinium stamineum	S1	THR	THR	THR	1	····g-···g-··g-·	No
				1		Most commonly found in the central plains and along the southeastern		
						seaboard states. The species is near the northern edge of its range in		
						Ontario where there are notentially four existing populations of Pink		
						Millwort in couthwestern Ontaria: three populations accur in		
						Reiwonong (Walnolo Island Eirst Nation) which lies on the dalta of the		
						St. Clair Diverses it energe into Lake St. Clair and one nonvilation		
						St. Clair River as it opens into Lake St. Clair and one population		
						occurs in Ojibway Praine Provincial Nature Reserve in Windsol-		
						Lasalie. During surveys completed in 2006, plants could not be	No notontial Cito is not	
						located at one population in Bkejwahong (walpole Island First Nation).	No potential. Site is not	
						In 2008, the remaining three populations contained an estimated 1,800	an open, mesic to dry	
						plants. Additional previously known populations on Squirrel Island,	sand prame.	
						near Niagara Falls and at Learnington are presumed to be extirpated.		
Pink Milkwort	Polygala incarnata	S1	END	END	0			NO
						Found in deciduous forest communities; this tree prefers and forests		
American Chestnut	Castanea dentata	S1S2	END	END	END	1 with acid and sandy soils.20	Potential.	No
						Butternut grows best in rich, moist and well-drained soils or limestone		
						gravel sites. They are less commonly found in dry, rocky and sterile		
						soils. They generally grow alone or in small groups in deciduous		
						forests that are commonly comprised of Basswood, Black Cherry,		
						Beed, Black Walnut, Elm, Hemlock, Hickory, Oak, Red Maple, Sugar		
						Maple, Poplar, White Ash and Yellow Birch.6 In Ontario, they can be		
						found throughout the southern Ontario, south of the Canadian		
Butternut	Juglans cinerea	S2?	END	END	END	1 Shield.10	Potential.	No
		İ		1		Generally grows in open, dry, deciduous forests. It has been		
						suggested that it may benefit from some disturbance, as it often grows		
White Wood Aster	Eurybia divaricata	S2S3	THR	THR	THR	1 along trails.20	Potential.	No

<sup>1</sup>S-Ranks (provincial)

Provincial (or Subnational) ranks are used by the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHC) to set protection priorities for rare species and natural communities. These ranks are not legal designations. Provincial ranks are assigned in a manner similar to that described for global ranks, but consider only those factors within the political boundaries of Ontario. (Provinical Status from MNR Biodiversity Explorer September 2012)

S1 Critically Imperied - Critically imperied in the nation or state/province because of extreme rarity (often 5 or fewer occurrences) or because of some factor(s) such as very steep declines making it sepacially vulnerable to extirpation from the state/province. S2 Imperied - Imperioralide in the nation or state/province because of rarity due to very restricted range, very few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the nation or state/province. S3 Vulnerable - Vulnerable in the nation or state/province due to aretificated range, relative few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation.

#### <sup>2</sup>SARO Endangered Species Act, 2007

(provincial status from MNR December 2014) The provincial review process is implemented by the MNR's Committee on the Status of Species at Risk in Ontario (COSSARO).

EXT Extinct - A species that no longer exists anywhere.

EXP Extirpated - A species that no longer exists in the wild in Ontario but still occurs elsewhere.

END Endangered - A species facing imminent extinction or extirpation in Ontario which is a candidate for regulation under Ontario's Endangered Species Act (ESA) (END-R designations are no longer relevant as species are covered under new ESA April 2009)

THR Threatened - A species that is at risk of becoming endangered in Ontario if limiting factors are not reversed.

SC Special Concern (formerly Vulnerable) - A species with characteristics that make it sensitive to human activities or natural events. NAR Not at Risk - A species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk. DD bata Deficient (formerly indeterminab) - A species for which there is insufficient information for a provincial status recommendation.

#### <sup>3</sup>SARA (Federal Species at Risk Act ) Status and Schedule (includes COSEWIC Status)

The Act establishes Schedule 1, as the official list of wildlife species at risk. It classifies those species as being either Extirpated, Endangered, Threatened, or a Special Concern. Once listed, the measures to protect and recover a listed wildlife species are implemented.

EXT Extinct - A wildlife species that no longer exists. EXP Extirpated - A wildlife species that no longer exists in the wild in Canada, but exists elsewhere in the wild.

END Endangered - A wildlife species that is facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

THR Threatened - A wildlife species that is likely to become endangered if nothing is done to reverse the factors leading to its extirpation or extinction.

SC Special Concern - A wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

#### <sup>4</sup>SARA Schedule

Schedule 1: is the official list of species that are classified as extirpated, endangered, threatened, and of special concern.

Schedule 2: species listed in Schedule 2 are species that had been designated as endangered or threatened, and have yet to be re-assessed by COSEWIC using revised criteria. Once these species have been re-assessed, they may be considered for inclusion in Schedule 1. Schedule 3: species listed in Schedule 3 are species that had been designated as special concern, and have yet to be re-assessed by COSEWIC using revised criteria. Once these species have been re-assessed, they may be considered for inclusion in Schedule 1.

The Act establishes Schedule 1 as the official list of wildlife species at risk. However, please note that while Schedule 1 lists species that are extirpated, endangered, threatened and of special concern, the prohibitions do not apply to species of special concern.

Species that were designated at risk by COSEWIC prior to October 1999 (Schedule 2 & 3) must be reassesed using revised criteria before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1 of SARA. After they have been assessed, the Governor in Council may on the recommendation of the Minister, decide on whether or not they should be added to the List of Wildlife Species at Risk.

#### <sup>5</sup>Habitat Present on Site

Determination of suitability of the site to be support each species based on 'Key Habitats Used By Species'. Yes - Specific habitat present and species and / or evidence observed; Likely – The whole study area or portions of it contain conditions that could support the species; Unlikely – Few similarities between study area conditions and preferred habitat exist; No - Specific habitat not present and species and / or evidence not observed

<sup>6</sup>Species Observed

Reported sighting of species during fall field investigations by RJB biologists

#### Additional Sources:

#### Sources:

Cadman, M.D., et al. (eds). 2007. Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario, 2001-2005. Bird Studies Canada, Environment Canada, Ontario Field Omithologists, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, and Ontario Nature, Toronto, xxii + 706 pp.

8 Species at Risk Public Registry http://www.sararegistry.gc.ca

P McCracken, J.D. et al. 2013. Recovery Strategy for the Bobolink (Dolichonyx oryzivorus) and Eastern Meadowlark (Sturnella magna) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Peterborough, Ontario, viii + 88 pp.

<sup>10</sup> MNR SARO List Species Descriptions (http://www.mnr.gov.on.ca/en/Business/Species/2ColumnSubPage/MNR\_SAR\_CSSR\_SARO\_LST\_EN.html)

11 COSEWIC Species Assessment Report

12 Naughton, Donna. 2012. The Natural History of Canadian Mammals. Canadian Museum of Nature and University of Toronto Press, Toronto, + 784 pp.

13 Farrar, John Laird, 2017, Trees in Canada, Natural Resources Canada | Canada Forest Services, and, Fitchenry & Whiteside Limited, pp. 238 - 239

<sup>14</sup>Ontario Nature Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (https://ontarionature.org/programs/citizen-science/reptile-amphibian-atlas/species/)

<sup>15</sup>Environment Canada. 2015. Recovery Strategy for Little Brown Myotis (Myotis lucifugus), Northern Myotis (Myotis septentrionalis) and Tri-colored Bat (Perimyotis subflavus) in Canada [Proposed]. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Environment Canada, Ottawa. Ix + 110 pp.

16 Humphrey, C. 2017. Recovery Strategy for the Eastern Small-footed Myotis (Myotis leibil) in Ontario. Ontario Recovery Strategy Series. Prepared for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Peterborough, Ontario. vii + 76 pp.

<sup>17</sup>Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) Aquatic Species at Risk found online at: http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/sara-lep/identify-eng.html.

<sup>18</sup>Paulson, D. 2011. Dragonflies and Damselflies of the East. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

<sup>19</sup>Harding, J.H., 1997. Amphibians and Reptiles of the Great Lakes Region. The University of Michigan Press. Ann Arbor, Michigan

<sup>20</sup>MNRF. 2018. City of Niagara Falls Species at Risk Table. Guelph District.

<sup>21</sup>Michigan Flora found online at https://michiganflora.net/search.aspx

<sup>22</sup>Natural Heritage Information Centre (https://www.ontario.ca/page/get-natural-heritage-information)