FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BRIEF

IN SUPPORT OF AN APPLICATION TO AMEND

THE OFFICIAL PLAN AND ZONING BY-LAW

6546 Fallsview Blvd

Commercial Development

Niagara Falls, Ontario



8395 Jane Street, Suite 100 Vaughan, Ontario L4K 5Y2 Tel: (905) 326-1404

File Number: 22087

Prepared For:

Hennepin's View Inc.

2Reissued for First Submission2024-01-151Issued for First Submission2023-03-06No.RevisionDate



This Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management (SWM) Brief has been prepared on behalf of **Hennepin's View Inc.** in support of an application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law for the proposed hotel redevelopment at 6546 Fallsview Boulevard in the City of Niagara Falls, Ontario.

The purpose of this Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management (SWM) Brief is to demonstrate that adequate municipal servicing capacity exists and to outline the servicing and stormwater management strategy for the proposed hotel redevelopment. The servicing strategy for the proposed development is summarized as follows:

Water Servicing:

Per the record drawings provided by the City of Niagara Falls, there is an existing 450mm watermain on Fallsview Boulevard. The proposed development will be serviced through two new 200mm connections to the existing watermain, with one connection for each tower. The maximum day plus fire flow demand for the proposed development is **10,719 L/min**. Flow tests of nearby fire hydrants will need to be completed to confirm that residual system pressures are adequate at the governing flow rate.

Sanitary Servicing:

Sanitary flows for the proposed development will be directed to the existing 250mm sanitary sewer on Fallsview Boulevard. The peak sanitary flow generated by the proposed development is estimated to be **23.72 L/s**, which represents an increase of approximately 323 % in sanitary flows over the existing conditions. Given the subject site's location at the upstream end of the sanitary sewer shed, there are no capacity concerns for the sanitary sewer at the connection point. An analysis will be conducted at the detailed design stage to confirm the available capacity of the sanitary sewer further downstream.

Stormwater Servicing:

There is an existing 300mm storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard that currently captures storm runoff from half of the existing site. The proposed development will be serviced through a new 300mm storm sewer connecting to the existing 300mm storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard.



Site drainage will be captured by proposed area drains and directed to the proposed SWM tank that will be located underneath the ramp that is located immediately north of the proposed building. Roof drainage will be conveyed by the internal storm system and directed to the SWM tank.

The 100-year post-development flow rate for the entire site will be restricted to the 5-year predevelopment release rate of **107 L/s**. Under post development conditions, the subject site will be comprised of 0.17 Ha. of uncontrolled area and 0.89 Ha. of controlled area. The uncontrolled area will drain onto Fallsview Boulevard and Portage Road while stormwater management will be applied to the controlled area. Runoff from the controlled area will be captured and directed to the SWM tank and pumped to a proposed 300mm storm sewer connection to the existing 300mm storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard.

An 'Enhanced' level of quality control (80% TSS Removal) is required for the proposed development, which will be achieved through a treatment train approach. The treatment train will include the initial TSS removal credit from the landscape/roof areas and an Oil Grit Separator Unit (OGS) unit at the storm sewer outlet, resulting in an overall TSS removal rate of 91%.

The required water balance target of 53 m^3 will be achieved through onsite retention and reuse within the proposed building(s).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	of Contents	iii
List of	f Figures	iv
List of	f Appendices	iv
1.0 In	troduction	1
1.1	Background	
1.2	Study Parameters	2
2.0 W	ater Supply	2
2.1	Existing Water Supply	2
2.2	Proposed Water Supply	3
3.0 Sa	anitary Servicing	4
3.1	Existing Sanitary Servicing	4
3.2	Proposed Sanitary Servicing	5
4.0 St	tormwater Servicing	6
4.1	Existing Stormwater Drainage	6
4.2	Proposed Stormwater Management	6
5.0 C	onclusions	9



LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Site Location Plan
- Figure 2 Water Servicing Plan
- Figure 3 Sanitary Servicing Plan
- Figure 4 Storm Servicing Plan
- Figure 5 Existing Conditions Drainage Plan
- Figure 6 Proposed Conditions Drainage Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A Figures & Site Plan
- Appendix B Water Demand Calculations
- Appendix C Sanitary Design Flow Calculations
- Appendix D Stormwater Drainage and Stormwater Management Design Calculations



1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Hennepin's View Inc. has retained Counterpoint Engineering Inc. to prepare this Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief in support of an application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law for the proposed hotel redevelopment at 6546 Fallsview Boulevard (the "Subject Site"). This brief report was prepared to respond to submission requirements determined through the pre-consultation process. Section 14 of the City's Official Plan requires a pre-application consultation between an applicant and the City prior to submission of an application under the Planning Act to determine submission requirements for a complete application. The pre-consultation checklist issued following the submission of an updated conceptual design package in connection with a pre-consultation meeting held on Dec 15, 2022, included the following submission requirements for an application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law:

- (i) a Municipal Servicing Brief to review municipal services and demonstrate servicing capacity.
- (ii) a Stormwater Management Plan addressing pre- and post-development flows for a 5-year peak flow rate.

This Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief has been prepared to demonstrate that adequate municipal servicing capacity exists and to outline the servicing, stormwater management, and grading strategy for the proposed development.

The subject site is 1.06 Ha. in area and is situated within the City of Niagara Falls at the northeast quadrant of the intersection of Fallsview Boulevard and Portage Road. The Subject Site is bounded by Fallsview Boulevard to the west, Portage Road to the south, and existing commercial development (Fallsview Hotel and Casino) to the east and north. Currently, the Subject Site is occupied by the Oakes Hotel with a connected service commercial building, tenanted by an Applebee's restaurant, and with a surface parking lot along the Fallsview



Avenue frontage and decked parking on the eastern portion of the site. **Figure 1 – Site Location** illustrates the subject site within the context of its surroundings.

The Official Plan and Zoning By-law application contemplates the redevelopment of the property with a hotel complex comprised of two 58-storey hotel towers, a 7-storey podium and two underground levels (the "Proposed Hotel Redevelopment"). In terms of specific uses, the proposed redevelopment includes an accommodation component of approximately 1,140 hotel rooms and suites, 126 residential units, hotel-related food and beverage, a spa, ancillary retail and service uses, and the potential to accommodate a variety of entertainment uses. Refer to the proposed site plan concept included in **Appendix A**.

1.2 Study Parameters

The following were referenced in the preparation of this report:

- City of Niagara Falls Engineering Design Standards, 2016
- City of Niagara Falls Storm and Sanitary Sewer Design Criteria
- Regional Municipality of Niagara Model Urban Design Guidelines
- Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, MOE, 2008
- Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (Fire Underwriters Survey), 2020
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, MOE, 2003
- Topographic and legal survey completed by Richard Larocque Limited, dated December 20, 2016
- Architectural plans completed by Architects Alliance

2.0 WATER SUPPLY

2.1 Existing Water Supply

Per the record drawings provided by the City of Niagara Falls, there is an existing 450mm watermain on Fallsview Boulevard. Minimal information is available regarding the internal site servicing layout. However, it is assumed that the existing buildings are serviced via connection to the 450mm watermain on Fallsview Boulevard.

There is one abandoned water service connection and two existing water service connections that are to be abandoned, all connected to the existing 450mm watermain. Additionally, there



are two existing hydrants located on the east side of Fallsview Boulevard connected to the existing 450mm watermain. Refer to **Figure 2 – Water Servicing Plan** for the existing watermain network layout.

2.2 Proposed Water Supply

The proposed development will be serviced through two new 200mm connections to the existing 450mm watermain on Fallsview Boulevard. Each tower will be serviced by a 200mm connection, which will separate into a 200mm fire and 100mm domestic line. The two firelines will be looped internally as per the requirement of Ontario Building Code Section 3.2.9.7.

The City of Niagara Falls's engineering design manual states that demand flows shall be determined based on MOE's design guidelines for drinking water systems. Per MOE guidelines, governing flows shall be the greater of: a) maximum day demand plus fire flow, or b) maximum hour demand. The MOE guidelines recommend an average daily water use rate of 225 L/bed/day for hotels. Therefore, based on current site statistics and MOE guidelines, the average daily demand for the hotel was calculated to be 288 L/min. Peaking factors of 3.75 and 2.50 were used to determine the maximum hour and maximum day demand. The calculated daily demands for the proposed development are as follows:

- Average Day Demand = 288 L/min
- Maximum Hour Demand = 1,079 L/min
- Maximum Day Demand = 719 L/min

The City of Niagara Falls's engineering design manual states that the Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS) guidelines shall be used to calculate the fire flow requirements of the proposed development. For a fire-resistant building with protected vertical openings, the FUS recommends that the total effective area consist of the single largest floor area plus 25% of each of the two immediately adjoining floors. The floor areas considered in the calculation include the lower ground floor, the ground floor, and the second level. Therefore, the resulting fire flow was 10,000 L/min and the resulting maximum day plus fire flow demand for the proposed development is 10,719 L/min.



Flow tests of nearby fire hydrants will need to be completed to confirm that residual system pressures are adequate at the governing flow rate. The City of Niagara Falls' design criteria dictate the following system pressure requirements:

- Maximum pressure during the minimum hourly demand = 700 kPa
- Minimum pressure during maximum hour demand = 275 kPa
- Minimum Fire Flow pressure during simultaneous maximum day demand plus fire flow
 = 149 kPa.

Refer to **Appendix B** for the supporting calculations of the proposed water supply system.

3.0 SANITARY SERVICING

3.1 Existing Sanitary Servicing

There is an existing 250mm sanitary sewer on Fallsview Boulevard directing flows northwards from Portage Road towards Dixon Street. There is an existing 250mm sanitary service connected to the Fallsview Boulevard sewer that terminates at a maintenance hole at the property line. Additionally, there is an existing 150mm sanitary service connected to the Fallsview Boulevard sewer that also terminates within the property.

Minimal information is available regarding the internal site servicing layout. However, it is assumed that the existing buildings are serviced via connection to the 250mm Fallsview Boulevard sewer.

Design criteria provided by the City of Niagara Falls dictates that sanitary design flows be estimated by applying population equivalent densities based on the respective land use. As the subject site is located within a tourist commercial land use area, the population equivalent density is 284.2 persons/ha. With an average daily flow of 380 L/person/day, an infiltration rate of 0.28 L/s/ha, and Harmon's peaking factor, it can be assumed that the existing development produces an estimated peak sanitary flow of **5.61 L/s**.

X

Hennepin's View Inc.

3.2 Proposed Sanitary Servicing

Sanitary servicing will be provided for the proposed development via a new 200mm connection to the existing maintenance hole located at the property line. Ultimately, the proposed development will direct sanitary flows to the existing Fallsview Boulevard sewer, which conveys flows northwards towards Dixon Street.

Refer to **Figure 3 – Sanitary Servicing Plan** for the existing and proposed sanitary sewer layout.

The proposed development will introduce additional population density that will be higher than a typical tourist commercial land use area. As such, it will be more appropriate to use the per capita wastewater flows for Niagara Falls Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) Plant (Table 4.3 of the 2021 Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Update (WWMPU)).

For the population density, the hotel occupancy was divided into four categories: Standard (1 bed), Executive (2 bed), Deluxe (3 bed), and Presidential (4 bed). With 1,140 hotel units, the hotel quest equivalent population was determined to be of 1,164. Similarly, the residential units were divided into three categories: 1 Bed, 2 Bed and 3 Bed. Based on the 126 residential units, the residential equivalent population was determined to be 210. The total equivalent population for the development including hotel and residential is 1,874. Hotel staff population is anticipated to be negligible and will be confirmed at the detail design stages.

With an infiltration rate of 0.286 L/s/ha (as specified by the WWMPU) and a peaking factor of 3.61 per the Harmon formula, the peak sanitary flow generated by the proposed development can be estimated to be **23.72 L/s**. This represents an increase of approximately 323% in sanitary flows over the existing conditions and given the subject site's location at the upstream end of the sanitary sewer shed (refer to the sanitary drainage area included in **Appendix C**), there are no capacity concerns for the sanitary sewer at the connection point. An analysis will be conducted at the detailed design stage to confirm the available capacity of the sanitary sewer further downstream.

Refer to **Appendix C** for supporting calculations.

4.0 STORMWATER SERVICING

4.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage

There is an existing 300mm storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard that drains north into a 600mm storm sewer further down Fallsview Boulevard. There is also a 375mm storm sewer on Portage Road draining west to a 375mm storm sewer on Main Street. Approximately nine existing catchbasins are located on the parking lot east of the site. Refer to **Figure 4 – Existing Conditions Drainage Plan** for existing drainage patterns, areas, and runoff coefficients.

The existing site is comprised of 2 drainage areas that are summarized in **Table 1**. Based on the City of Niagara Falls's specified IDF curves, the pre-development 5-year peak runoff rates for the existing site are as follows:

ID	Area	Runoff Coefficient	5-Yr Peak Flow (L/s)
101	0.52	0.88	107
102	0.54	0.77	97

Table 1– Pre-Development Drainage Areas

Refer to **Appendix D** for predevelopment release rate calculations.

Per the storm drainage plans provided by the City of Niagara Falls (refer to **Figure ST-02** in **Appendix D**), it has been determined that runoff generated by Drainage Area 101 drains to the existing 300mm storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard. Runoff from Area 102 drains eastwards onto the existing parking lot and is captured by the existing catchbasins. The provided storm drainage plans that flows from Drainage Area 102 are directed to the east towards Niagara River Parkway and ultimately, Niagara River.

4.2 Proposed Stormwater Management

The allowable stormwater release rate to the existing storm sewer on Fallsview Boulevard has been determined to be equivalent to the 5-year peak flow rate generated by Existing Conditions Area 101 (refer to **Figure 4 – Existing Conditions Drainage Plan**). This is to allow the capture



of all minor and major flows (for storm events up to and including the 1:100 year return period) generated from the subject and to ensure the proposed development's site drainage is selfcontained and will outlet to one location (Fallsview Boulevard storm connection) in accordance with current City of Niagara Falls Engineering Design Guidelines. Currently, the minor and major flows from the eastern half of the subject site are directed to the adjacent property to the east. Major flows from storm events of a return period greater than 1:100 years will continue to be directed towards the adjacent property to the east.

The allowable stormwater release rate has been determined to be 107 L/s.

Refer to **Figure 5 – Proposed Conditions Drainage Plan** for the proposed drainage patterns, areas, and runoff coefficients.

Table 2 provides a preliminary allocation of the allowable release rate and associated storage

 requirements for the proposed development.

Area ID	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient	t _c (min)	Storage Available (m ³)	Storage Required (m ³)	Release Rate (L/s)	Release Rate Description (L/s)	
201	0.17	0.82	10	N/A	N/A	33	Uncontrolled	N/A
202	0.89	0.90	10	170	165	74	Controlled	Pump

Table 2 – Peak Flow and Storage Summary - 100-Year Storm Event

The combined site release rate from the controlled and uncontrolled areas will be **107** L/s, which is equal to the allowable release rate. A proposed SWM tank that will be located underneath the ramp that is immediately north of the proposed building will be utilized to provide the storage required to attenuate stormwater flows to the required rates. This tank has been oversized to **170m**³ to accommodate the required **165m**³ storage volume. Refer to **Appendix D** for storage volume calculations.



Area drains and catch basins are proposed to collect site drainage and direct it to the proposed SWM tank. Roof drainage will be conveyed by the internal storm drain system to the SWM tank. Control flow roof drains and rooftop detention are not required.

The bottom of the SWM tank (approx. invert at 179.05) will be below the existing storm sewer invert (180.95) and therefore controlled stormwater discharge will be through a pump. Refer to **Figure 6 – Storm Servicing Plan** for the existing and proposed stormwater sewer layout including the location of the SWM tank. Short-term and long-term groundwater discharge details will be determined at the detailed design stages.

The stormwater quality control target is 'Enhanced' (Level 1) treatment of stormwater runoff which corresponds to a total suspended solids (TSS) removal rate of at least 80%. Based on the site plan, the unmitigated TSS removal rate is only 55%. A treatment train approach consisting of a conventional roof area, landscape area, and a filter unit is proposed to achieve the quality control requirement. A Jellyfish® model JF4 has been preliminarily sized to treat this development. Refer to **Appendix D** for sizing and specifications.

The objective of the water balance criteria is to capture and manage annual rainfall on-site to preserve the pre-development hydrology. Water balance consists of runoff, infiltration, and evapotranspiration. The target set out by Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) for infill developments is onsite retention of 5mm rainfall depth across the subject site.

Based on the area of the subject site (1.06 ha), 5mm rainfall depth equates to a retention volume of **53m**³. The required retention volume will be achieved through an internal water reuse cistern located upstream of the proposed SWM tank. Rooftop drainage will be directed to the water re-use cistern which will overflow into the proposed SWM tank upon the capture of 55m³ of storage. Refer to **Appendix D** for water balance calculations.

Given that the subject site fronts onto a regional road, Niagara Region SWM requirements will apply. The SWM measures outlined in this document will address Niagara Region's SWM requirements pertaining to quantity and quality control and water balance and therefore no additional SWM measures will be required.



5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Our conclusions as they relate to the proposed hotel redevelopment, and more specifically, the submission requirements required in connection with the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law, are as follows:

- i. The proposed hotel redevelopment can be serviced with existing municipal servicing.
- ii. The post development 5-year peak flows can be addressed through a proposed SWM storage tank while stormwater quality control targets can be achieved using a treatment train approach.

The preceding conclusions are based on the engineering criteria for the City of Niagara Falls, the Regional Municipality of Niagara, and the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority. We trust the information provided in the report meets your requirements. Should there be any questions or comments, please feel free to contact the undersigned. Sincerely,

Counterpoint Engineering Inc.



Pula Mathumo, P.Eng pmathumo@counterpointeng.com

nda Chan

Linda Chan, EIT Ichan@counterpointeng.com



This Report was prepared by Counterpoint Engineering Inc. for the exclusive use of the 'Client' and in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out in the Agreement between Counterpoint Engineering Inc. and said Client. The material contained in this Report and all information relating to this activity reflect Counterpoint Engineering's assessment based on the information made available at the time of preparation of this report and do not take into account any subsequent changes that may have occurred thereafter. It should be noted that the information included in this report and data provided to Counterpoint Engineering has not been independently verified. Counterpoint Engineering Inc. represents that it has performed services hereunder with a degree of care, skill, and diligence normally provided by similarly-situated professionals in the performance of such services in respect of projects of similar nature at the time and place those services were rendered. Counterpoint Engineering Inc. disclaims all warranties, or any other representations, or conditions, either expressed or implied. With the exception of any designated 'Approving Authorities' to whom this report was submitted to for approval by Counterpoint Engineering Inc., any reliance on this document by a third party is strictly prohibited without written permission from Counterpoint Engineering Inc.. Counterpoint Engineering Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by a third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this Report.



APPENDIX A

Figures & Site Plan

Project No.: 22087

TOWER ROOF +210.05	+	at		 			 		 	 		
M3 +206.05	4.00		 							\square	P	
+202.05	00 + -	 	 	++								
	.75 4.1								+++-			
LEVEL 58 +194.30	3.00		 ſ									
+191.30 <u>LEVEL 56</u> +188.30	3.00											
LEVEL 55 +185.30	3.00								+++-			
LEVEL 54 +182.30 LEVEL 53	3.00											
+179.30¥ 52 (Typical Residential L52-L58) +176.30	0 3:00											
LEVEL 51 +173.30	3.00 3.0											
LEVEL 49 (Typical L49-L51) +177.30	3.00											
LEVEL 48 Recirc. +163.85	0 4 3.45											
LEVEL 47 +160.85	3.00 3.0											
LEVEL 45 (Typical Lao-Lao) +157.85 LEVEL 45 +154.85	3.00											
LEVEL 44 +151.85	00 3.00								+++-			
LEVEL 43 +148.85	3.00											
+145.85 LEVEL 41 +142.85	3.00											
LEVEL 40 +139.85	3.00		 Ì									
LEVEL 39 +136.85	3.45											
+133.40 LEVEL 37 +130.40	3.00											
LEVEL 36 +127.40	3.00											
LEVEL 35 +124.40	3.00											
+121.40 LEVEL 33 +118.40	00 3:00											
LEVEL 32 +115.40	3.00											
+112.40 LEVEL 30 +109.40	3.00											
LEVEL 29 +106.40	.45 3.00		 ŀŧ									
LEVEL 28 Recirc. +102.95	3.00											
+99.95 LEVEL 26 +96.95	3.00											
LEVEL 25 +93.95	3.00 3.01											
LEVEL 24 +90.95	3.00											
LEVEL 22 +84.95	00 3.00											
LEVEL 21 +81.95	3.00											
+78.95 LEVEL 19 +75.95	3.00											
LEVEL 18 Recirc. / +72.50	.00 3.45		 ļ						+++-			
LEVEL 17 +69.50 LEVEL 16	3.00											
+66.50 LEVEL 15 (Typical L15-L45) +63.50	3.00											
LEVEL 14 +60.50	3.00 3.0											
<u>+57.50</u> <u>LEVEL 12</u> +54.50	3.00											
LEVEL 11 +51.50	3.00											
LEVEL 10 +48.50	3.00 3.00 											
+45.50 + Level 8 (Typical L8-L14) +42.50 + TRANSFER +	2.00 3.00								+ +			
+40.50	4.50		 L									
+36.00	00.0		 									
Level 6 +30.00			 	 			 		 	 		
Level 5	6.00											
+24.00	9.00											
Level 4 +18.00	- +		 	 			 +-		 + _	 -		
	6.00	 	 								1	
+12.00 +	6.00											
Level 2 +6.00			 					┙ ╴╴╴╴ ╘═╤═╈	 	 ====		
Ground Floor ±0.00	- <u>- 100</u>	±0.00 = Average Grade +200.20	 		_//-		//		 	 		
B1 ;	3.50 2.0	Ground Floor Access From Portage Road		_L_\	Χ/	<u>\</u> X/	/					
$\begin{array}{c} 1\\ -5.50\\ \end{array}$	3.50											
-9.00 V												
$\left(\begin{array}{c}1\\ \Delta_{-2}\end{array}\right)$	$\frac{1}{1}$	EAST ELEVATION								 		
	ノ	SCALE: 1:400										

LEV



- Copyright of this drawing is reserved by the Architect. The drawing and all associated documents are an instrument of service by the Architect. The drawing and the information contained therein may not be reproduced in whole or in part without prior written permission of the Architect.
- 2. These Contract Documents are the property of the Architect. The Architect bears no responsibility for the interpretation of these documents by the Contractor. Upon written application, the Architect will provide written/ graphic clarification or supplementary information regarding the intent of the Contract Documents. The Architect will review Shop Drawings submitted by the Contractor for design conformance only.
- 3. Drawings are not to be scaled for construction. The Contractor is to verify all existing conditions and dimensions required to perform the work and report any discrepancies with the Contract Documents to the Architect before commencing any work.
- 4. Positions of exposed finished mechanical or electrical devices, fittings, and fixtures are indicated on architectural drawings. The locations shown on the architectural drawings govern over the Mechanical and Electrical drawings. Those items not clearly located will be located as directed by the Architect.
- 5. These drawings are not to be used for construction unless noted below as "Issuance: For Construction"
- All work is to be carried out in conformance with the Code and Bylaws of the authorities having jurisdiction.
- 7. The Architect of these plans and specifications gives no warranty or representation to any party about the constructability of the building(s) represented by them. All contractors or subcontractors must satisfy themselves when bidding and at all times ensure that they can properly construct the work represented by these plans.
- Geodetic Elevations provided in these drawings are measured in accordance with the Canadian Geodetic Vertical Datum (CGVD2013).

© 2022 architects–Alliance

No	Issued For	Date
1.	DRAFT SET	2023 - 03 - 14
2.	ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT	2024 - 02 - 01



architects—Alliance 317 Adelaide Street West, 2nd Flr Toronto ON . M5V 1P9 . Canada www.architectsalliance.com +1 . 416 . 593 . 6500

Oakes Hotel Redevelopment 6546 Fallsview Blvd, 6546 Fallsview Blvd, Niagara Falls, ON

Hennepin's View Inc.

EAST ELEVATION

Scale: 1:400 Project No: 22023-1 01 February 2024

TOWER ROOF +210.05	10.05							царанана и страна и с "Царана и страна и стр
M3	日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日 日	י ב		MPH			 	
+208.05 4	4.00 ROPF	I SO		MPH				ROPE
<u>M2</u> +202.05			·					
M1	4.00			MPH	40			
+198.05	3.75			RESIDE				
LEVEL 58 +194.30		<u> </u>	·					
LEVEL 57 +191.30		ļ	·	RESIDER				
LEVEL 56	+	ļ		RESIDE				
LEVEL 55	3.00	ii		RESIDE				
+185.30♥	3.00			RESIDE				
+182.30	+	+		RESIDE				
LEVEL 53 +179.30								
VEL 52 (Typical Residential L52-L58) +176.30	30 + 3.0	 		RESIDEI				
LEVEL 51	+ 3.00 - 176	 +		GUESTR			 	 -¦
LEVEL 50	3.00			GUESTR				
+170.30 IEVEL 49 (Typical 149-151) +	3.00			GUESTR				
+167.30		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		GUESTR				
LEVEL 48 Recirc. +163.85		÷			f			j
LEVEL 47 +160.85			·	GUESTR				
LEVEL 46 (Typical L46-L48)	3.00			GUESTR	OOMS		· ·	
+157.85 ¥	3.00			GUESTR				
+154.85	3.00	+		GUESTR				
+151.85		+	· ∦ ₽ ₽₽₽	GUESTR				-
LEVEL 43 +148.85		+	╶╶╴╴╴╴╴╠╋╤┪╴╴╴	CULETE				
LEVEL 42 +145.85		+++	·	GUESTR				
	+	++++		GUESTR	OOMS			
+142.63 + LEVEL 40	3.00			GUESTR				
+139.85 LEVEL 29 L	3.00			GUESTR				
+136.85	+	++++		GUESTR				
LEVEL 38 Recirc. +133.40			·	GUESTIN				
LEVEL 37 +130.40	3.0	ļ	·	GUESTR			 	<u> </u>
LEVEL 36	3.00	ļ		GUESTR				
+127.40♥ LEVEL 35.★	3.00			GUESTR				
+124.40	3.00			GUESTR				
+121.40	00.	+		GUESTR	OOMS			
LEVEL 33 +118.40			╶╶╴╴╴╴╴╠╋╧╉╴╴╴					
LEVEL 32 +115.40	+	 	·	GUESTR	I			
LEVEL 31	3.00	 +		GUESTR				 -¦
_LEVEL 30	3.00	 		GUESTR				
+109.40¥	3.00			GUESTR				
+106.40	+	+		GUESTR				
LEVEL 28 Recirc. +102.95		÷	·					
LEVEL 27 +99.95	3.0		·	GUESTR			 	
LEVEL 26	3.00			GUESTR				
+96.95♥ LEVEL 25 本	3.00			GUESTR				
+93.95	3.00	++++		GUESTR				
+90.95		+	· ∦ =+4	GUESTR				1
LEVEL 23 +87.95		+	╶╶╴╴╴╴╴╠╋╤┪╴╴╴	CULETE				
LEVEL 22 +84.95		+	·	GUESTR				
LEVEL 21 +81 95	3.00			GUESTR			: 	¦ -
LEVEL 20	3.00			GUESTR				" "
+78.95♥ LEVEL 19本	3.00			GUESTR			-	
+75.95	3.45	+		GUESTR				
LEVEL 18 Recirc. +72.50	+	<u> </u>	╶╶╴╴╴╴╴╠╋╧╉╴╴╴					
LEVEL 17 +69.50				GDEGIN				
LEVEL 16	3.00	 +		GUESTR			: 	
LEVEL 15 (Typical L15-L45)	3.00	ii		GUESTR				
+63.50♥ LEVEL 14 →	3.00			GUESTR				
+60.50	3.00	+		GUESTR	OOMS			
+57.50		+ 		GUESTR	OOMS			
LEVEL 12 +54.50				CUESTS				
LEVEL 11 +51.50		 		GUESTR				
LEVEL 10 +48 50			·	GUESTR			 	l 1 1
LEVEL 9	+	 +		GUESTR	OOMS		 	
+45.50♥ _Level 8 (Typical L8-L14),	3.00			GUESTR				
+42.50 TRANSFER +40.50								
	4.50		ol	ROOF TOP BAR - TOWER	SOUTH	Q		
Level 7 - ROOF TOP +36.00								1
	6.00		e SPECIALTY				PA	
Level 6	+ -	+	* RESTAURANT #3			4		
	8			······································		8 BANG		
Level 5 🛧			4 4		BANQUET R		FUNCTION	
+24.00								
	6.00		HULTI-PURPOSE FACILITY #2			6.00		
Level 4 +18.00	- + -	+				MULTI-PURPO FACILITY #2	^{SE}	
	6.00		BULTI-PURPOSE					й П
Level 3	+ -							
+12.00Y	8		MULTIPUPPOST		SPECIALT		SE T	
Level ? →	9		FACILITY #2		RESTAURAN	FACILITY #1		
+6.00					EXECUTIVE, S	ALES 8		
	6.00		MULTI-PURPOSE		OFFICES	8:00 8:00 9:00		
Ground Floor ±0.00		00 = Average Grade +200.20			FRONT OFFI		+1 <u>98.20</u>	1 + 197 80 + 1 <u>98.2</u> 0
-		SOU	TH TOWER 8	┢╾┥╴┝	іт /			existing parking lot Entrance point from portage road
<u>-5.50</u>				┢╼╼╉╴╴╊				
B2		P				ମ୍ଭ ମ		
-9.00¥								
I								



TOWER ROOF +210.05	4.00 + 210.05L							мрн		
^{M3} +206.05	00 *							MPH		
<u>+202.05</u>	4 						┢			
M1 +198.05	4 4.00 - 105	•			·			МРН		
LEVEL 58	+ 3.75 198.							RESIDENTIAL		u
+194.30 + LEVEL 57 +191.30 +	3.00 							RESIDENTIAL		
LEVEL 56 +188.30	3.00	 						RESIDENTIAL		
LEVEL 55 +185.30	3.00							RESIDENTIAL		
LEVEL 54 +182.30	*									u u ¥
LEVEL 53 +179.30	- 3.						┢	RESIDENTIAL		u b
LEVEL 52 (Typical Residential L52-L58) +176.30	3.00 <u>}</u> 176.30L					FT -		GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 51 +173.30	* 3.00						h	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 49 (Typical L49-L51)	3.00						Ħ	GUESTROOMS		
+167.30 LEVEL 48 Regire.	3.45						Π	GUESTROOMS		
+163.85 LEVEL 47	3.00							GUESTROOMS		
+160.85 4	3.00							GUESTROOMS		
<u>LEVEL 45</u> +154 85	3.00 +							GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 44 +151.85) <u>+</u> 3.00 -						\square	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 43 +148.85	0 						┝┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 42 +145.85	- 3.0						┝┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 41 +142.85	<u>3.00</u>	 					$\left \right $	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 40 +139.85	3:00	 			·		$\left \right $	GUESTROOMS		li n
LEVEL 39 +136.85	3.45					– – F1		GUESTROOMS		n n
LEVEL 38 Recirc. +133.40	3:00				·		$\left \cdot \right $	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 37 +130.40	3:00						H	GUESTROOMS		n n
+127.40 LEVEL 35	+ 3.00						Ħ	GUESTROOMS		
+124.40 LEVEL 34	3.00						Π	GUESTROOMS		+
+121.40 V	3.00							GUESTROOMS		
$\frac{1000}{1100}$	3.00	 						GUESTROOMS		 !
LEVEL 31 +112.40	3.00						$\left \right $	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 30 +109.40	00 *						┝┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 29 +106.40	+ 3.0 + +					<u> </u>	┝┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 28 Recirc. +102.95	+ 00						┢┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 27 +99.95	 						┢┥	GUESTROOMS		1)
LEVEL 26 +96.95	3.00						H	GUESTROOMS		<u> </u>
LEVEL 23 +93.95	3.00						H	GUESTROOMS		
+90.95 LEVEL 23	3.00						Ħ	GUESTROOMS		H
+87.95 V	3.00							GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 21 +81.95	3.00							GUESTROOMS		i.
LEVEL 20 +78.95	3.00						┝┥	GUESTROOMS		τ
LEVEL 19 +75.95	+ 3.0					<u> </u>	H	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 18 Recirc. +72.50)0 + 3.4 						┝┥	GUESTROOMS		 u n 1
LEVEL 17 +69.50	- 3.0						┢┥	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 16 +66.50	3.00						Н	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 15 (Typical L15-L45) +63.50	3.00 3.00						H	GUESTROOMS		
+60.50 LEVEL 13	3.00						Ħ	GUESTROOMS		u
+57.50 P	3.00						Ì	GUESTROOMS		
+54.50 LEVEL 11	3.00	 					Ц	GUESTROOMS		
LEVEL 10 +48.50	3.00				·		Ц	GUESTROOMS		n n n n
LEVEL 9 +45.50	0 <u>+</u> 3.0(·		$\left \right $	GUESTROOMS		n
Level 8 (Typical L8-L14) +42.50 TRANSFED 1	2:00 \$ 3.1				·			GUESTROUMS		
+40.50	4.50							ROOF TOP BAR - NORTH TOWER		n
Level 7 - ROOF TOP +36.00	100.9			1.60			1.60			
	0.00			CHANG	GE- POOL EQUIPMENT ER / STORAGE	г	4.40	3-MEAL RESTAURANT HQTEL		
Level 6 +30.00	*								4	
level 5 to	6.00		BANQUET ROOM සි	6.00	JR BALLROOM		4.50	CLUB LOUNGE		
+24.00	**								1	∺ ∪
Level 4	9 +		GRAND	2.00			/ 4.50	PECIALTY RESTAURANT #2	J	
+18.00♥∕	6.00		BALLROOM	1.50 /	-		7 02		1	
Level 3 +12.00	+			4.50			/ 4.5	MEETING ROOM	k	 +
	6.00			6.00			1.50	MULTI-PURPOSE		
Level 2 +6.00	+		MULTI-PURPOSE FACILITY #1					FAGILITY #1		
	6.00			6.00 5.00	HC	DTEL DBBY	00	RESIDENTIAL LOBBY		n 11 11 11
Ground Floor ±0.00		±0.00 = Average Grade +200.20 +200.20 Ground Floor Access From Portage Road							+198.20	Adjacent Ram
- B1,★	3.50	4.50	PARKING	5.50	LAUNDRY - NORTH TOWER	R	, <u>3.2</u> 0	LEV. BBBY STORAGE	HOUSEKEEPING	Entrance point from portage road
-5.50 P	3.50		PARKING	3.50	STORAGE		3.30	SECURITY	RECEIVING	
<u>-9.00</u>	*									



- 1. Copyright of this drawing is reserved by the Architect. The drawing and all associated documents are an instrument of service by the Architect. The drawing and the information contained therein may not be reproduced in whole or in part without prior written permission of the Architect.
- 2. These Contract Documents are the property of the Architect. The Architect bears no responsibility for the interpretation of these documents by the Contractor. Upon written application, the Architect will provide written/ graphic clarification or supplementary information regarding the intent of the Contract Documents. The Architect will review Shop Drawings submitted by the Contractor for design conformance only.
- 3. Drawings are not to be scaled for construction. The Contractor is to verify all existing conditions and dimensions required to perform the work and report any discrepancies with the Contract Documents to the Architect before commencing any work.
- 4. Positions of exposed finished mechanical or electrical devices, fittings, and fixtures are indicated on architectural drawings. The locations shown on the architectural drawings govern over the Mechanical and Electrical drawings. Those items not clearly located will be located as directed by the Architect.
- 5. These drawings are not to be used for construction unless noted below as "Issuance: For Construction"
- 6. All work is to be carried out in conformance with the Code and Bylaws of the authorities having jurisdiction.
- 7. The Architect of these plans and specifications gives no warranty or representation to any party about the constructability of the building(s) represented by them. All contractors or subcontractors must satisfy themselves when bidding and at all times ensure that they can properly construct the work represented by these plans.
- 8. Geodetic Elevations provided in these drawings are measured in accordance with the Canadian Geodetic Vertical Datum (CGVD2013).

© 2022 architects–Alliance

No	Issued For	Date
1.	DRAFT SET	2023 - 03 - 14
2.	ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT	2024 - 02 - 01



architects-Alliance 317 Adelaide Street West, 2nd Flr Toronto ON . M5V 1P9 . Canada www.architectsalliance.com +1 . 416 . 593 . 6500

Oakes Hotel Redevelopment 6546 Fallsview Blvd, 6546 Fallsview Blvd, Niagara Falls, ON

Hennepin's View Inc.

SECTIONS

Scale: 1:400 Project No: 22023-1 01 February 2024



- Copyright of this drawing is reserved by the Architect. The drawing and all associated documents are an instrument of service by the Architect. The drawing and the information contained therein may not be reproduced in whole or in part without prior written permission of the Architect.
- 2. These Contract Documents are the property of the Architect. The Architect bears no responsibility for the interpretation of these documents by the Contractor. Upon written application, the Architect will provide written/ graphic clarification or supplementary information regarding the intent of the Contract Documents. The Architect will review Shop Drawings submitted by the Contractor for design conformance only.
- Drawings are not to be scaled for construction. The Contractor is to verify all existing conditions and dimensions required to perform the work and report any discrepancies with the Contract Documents to the Architect before commencing any work.
- 4. Positions of exposed finished mechanical or electrical devices, fittings, and fixtures are indicated on architectural drawings. The locations shown on the architectural drawings govern over the Mechanical and Electrical drawings. Those items not clearly located will be located as directed by the Architect.
- These drawings are not to be used for construction unless noted below as "Issuance: For Construction"
- 6. All work is to be carried out in conformance with the Code and Bylaws of the authorities having jurisdiction.
- 7. The Architect of these plans and specifications gives no warranty or representation to any party about the constructability of the building(s) represented by them. All contractors or subcontractors must satisfy themselves when bidding and at all times ensure that they can properly construct the work represented by these plans.
- 8. Geodetic Elevations provided in these drawings are measured in accordance with the Canadian Geodetic Vertical Datum (CGVD2013).

© 2022 architects–Alliance

No	Issued For	Date
1.	DRAFT SET	2023 - 03 - 14
2.	ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT	2024 - 02 - 01



architects—Alliance 317 Adelaide Street West, 2nd Flr Toronto ON . M5V 1P9 . Canada www.architectsalliance.com +1 . 416 . 593 . 6500



 \sim

ARCHITECTS Z ARCHITECTS Z UCENCE 3427

Oakes Hotel Redevelopment 6546 Fallsview Blvd, 6546 Fallsview Blvd, Niagara Falls, ON

Hennepin's View Inc.

GROUND FLOOR

Scale: 1:350 Project No: 22023-1 01 February 2024



Z:\Shared\Projects\2022\22087_Hennepin Autograph Niagara Falls\Design\Drawings\Figures\22087 Figure 1 Site Location Plan.dwg



<u>LEGEND</u>

PROPERTY LINE
LINE OF BASEMENT WALL
EX. WATERMAIN
PROP. WATERMAIN
VALVE BOX
WATER METER LOCATION
BACKFLOW PREVENTOR
HYDRANT

COUNTERPOINT ENGINEERING COUNTERPOINT ENGINEERING INC. 2395 Jane St., Suite 100, Vaughan, ON L4K 5Y2 Phone 905, 326, 1404 Fax 905, 326, 1404 6546 FALLSVIEW BOULEVARD

NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO

WATERMAIN SERVICING PLAN

DESIGNED BY: PM	DATE: FEB 08 2023
CHECKED BY: PW	PROJECT No. 22097
DRAWING BY: ET	22087
CHECKED BY: PM	FIGURE No. 2
SCALE: NTS	۷ ک



<u>LEGEND</u>





6546 FALLSVIEW BOULEVARD

NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO

SANITARY SERVICING PLAN

DESIGNED	BY:	PM	DATE:	FEB	08	2023	3
CHECKED	BY:	ΡW	PROJEC	CT N	0.2	200-	7
DRAWING	BY:	ΕT			2	200	/
CHECKED	BY:	ΡM	FIGURE	No.		٦	
SCALE: I	NTS					5	







	LEGEND						
			PROPERTY	LINE			
	>		EX. STORM & FLOW DI	SEWEI RECTIO	R N		
	C	C	EX STORM	MANH	DLE		
	I		CATCH BAS	SIN			
			DRAINAGE	BOUND	ARY		
-		\frown	AREA I.D				
	<u> </u>	101	AREA (Ha.))			
	<u>v</u>	= 0.25	RUNOFF CO	DEFFICI	ENT		
		•	OVERLAND DIRECTION	FLOW			
		25	EXISTING C	ONTOU	R/ELEV.		
			PAVED ARE	ĒA			
			LANDSCAPE	ED ARE	Ā		
			ROOF ARE	٩			
		-	UNCONTRO	LLED F	LOW		
di.		SWM	AREA 201 S	UMMA	RY		
2		SURFACE	AREA (m ²)	RC	AREA*RC		
		IMPERVIOUS PERVIOUS	1,512 224	0.90 0.25	1,361 56		
7		TOTAL	1,736		1,417		
		WEIGHTED RC	0.82				
		SURFACE	AREA 202 5 AREA (m ²)	RC	AREA*RC		
		IMPERVIOUS	3,202	0.90	2,882		
2		ROOF PERVIOUS	5,687 0	0.90 0.25	5,118 0		
		TOTAL	8,889		8,000		
		WEIGHTED RC	0.90				
r P			_		V		
1		coun	terpo	Int			
		COUNTER 8395 Jane St., Suite 100,	POINT ENG Vaughan, ON L4K 5Y2 Pho	INEER me 905.326.140	NG INC 4 Fax 905.326.1405		
		6546 FALLSVI	EW BOULEVA	RD			
		NIAGARA FALLS	, ONTARIO				
		PRO	POSED CO	NDITIO	NS		
					AN		
and a		CHECKED B	Y: PW PROJ	ECT No	^{0.} 22023		
		CHECKED B	<u>Y: PM</u> FIGUF	RE No.	5		
		I SCALE: NT	S I		0		



<u>LEGEND</u>





6546 FALLSVIEW BOULEVARD

NIAGARA FALLS, ONTARIO

STORM SERVICING PLAN

DESIGNED BY: PM	DATE: FEB 08 2023
CHECKED BY: PW	PROJECT No. 22097
DRAWING BY: ET	22087
CHECKED BY: PM	FIGURE No. 6
SCALE: NTS	0



APPENDIX B

Water Demand Calculations

Project No.: 22087

POPULATION	MINIMUM RATE FACTOR (MINIMUM HOUR)	MAXIMUM DAY FACTOR	PEAK RATE FACTOR (PEAK HOUR)
500 - 1 000	0.40	2.75	4.13
1 001 - 2 000	0.45	2.50	3.75
2 001 - 3 000	0.45	2.25	3.38
3 001 - 10 000	0.50	2.00	3.00
10 001 - 25 000	0.60	1.90	2.85
25 001 - 50 000	0.65	1.80	2.70
50 001 - 75 000	0.65	1.75	2.62
75 001 -150 000	0.70	1.65	2.48
greater than 150 000	0.80	1.50	2.25

Table 3-1: Peaking Factors

3.4.3 Commercial and Institutional Water Demands

Institutional and commercial flows should be determined by using historical records, where available. Where no records are available, the values in Table 3.2 should be used. For other commercial and tourist-commercial areas, an allowance of 28 m³/(ha·d) [3000 USgal/(acre·d)] average flow should be used in the absence of reliable flow data.

When using the above unit demands, maximum day and peak rate factors should be developed. For establishments in operation for only a portion of the day such as schools and shopping plazas, the water usage should also be factored accordingly. For instance, with schools operating for 8 hours per day, the water use rate would be at an average rate of 70 L/(student·day) [19 USgal/(student·day)] x 24/8 or 210 L/student (55 USgal/student) over the 8-hour period of operation. The water use will drop to a residual amount during the remainder of the day. Schools generally do not exhibit large maximum day to average day ratios and a factor of 1.5 will generally cover this variation. For estimation of *peak demand* rates, an assessment of the water-using fixtures is generally necessary and a fixture-unit approach should be used.

Water Demand Design Calculations

Project:	Hennepin Autograph
Project No:	22087
Location:	6546 Fallsview Boulevard
Site Area:	1.06 ha

Average Daily Consumption

Residential use	Water Use	Unit
Hotels	225	L/bed-space/day
Residential Units	190	L/capita/day
	6 0 1144 1	

Per City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains

Population Densities*

Apartment (1 bed)	1.4	ppu	
Apartment (2 bed)	2.1	ppu	
Apartment (3 bed)	3.1	ppu	
Apartment (4 bed)	3.7	ppu	

*City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains - Chapter 2 (2nd Edition, January 2021)

Site Statistics (Circulated Nov 17, 2023)

	Standard*	Executive**	Deluxe***	Presidential*** *	TOTAL POPULATION
Hotel	1066	62	6	6	1140
Total Units					1140

*Standard hotel room contains 1 bay/bed

**Executive hotel room contains 2 bay/bed

***Deluxe hotel room contains 3 bay/bed

****Presidential hotel room contains 4 bay/bed

Site Statistics (Circulated Nov 17, 2023)

	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	TOTAL POPULATION
Residential units	98	14	14	0	126
Total Units					126

Equivalent Population

	Standard*	Executive**	Deluxe***	Presidential*** *	TOTAL EQUIVALENT POPULATION
Hotel	1492	130	19	22	1664
Total Equivalent Population					

*Standard hotel room contains 1 bay/bed

**Executive hotel room contains 2 bays/beds

***Deluxe hotel room contains 3 bays/beds

****Presidential hotel room contains 4 bays/beds

Equivalent Population

	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	TOTAL EQUIVALENT POPULATION	
Residential units	137	29	43	0	210	
Total Equivalent Population						

Water Demand Design Calculations

Project:	Hennepin Autograph
Project No:	22087
Location:	6546 Fallsview Boulevard
Site Area:	1.06 ha

Peaking Factors

Population	Minimum Hour	Maximum Hour	Maximum Day
1874	0.45	3.75	2.50
	0.11.11. (144 4 0 4 000	

*** Table 3-1 of the MECP Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, 2008

Water Demand based on Equivalent Population

Land Use	Total Units	Average Daily Demand (L/min)	Maximum Hour (L/min)	Maximum Day (L/min)	Fire Flow Required (L/min)	Max Day + Fire Flow (L/min)
Hotel	1664	260.0	975.0	650.0		
Residential Units	210	27.7	103.9	69.3		
Total	1874	288	1079	719	10,000	10,719

* See attached table in Appendix B for Fire Flow Duration

REQUIRED FIRE FLOW WORKSHEET - PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Fire Underwriters Survey

Project : Hennepin Autograph Project No: 22087 Location: 6546 Fallsview Boulevard

Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O

$$RFF = 220C\sqrt{A}$$

Where:

- RFF = the Required Fire Flow in litres per minutes (LPM)
- C = the Construction Coefficient is related to the type of construction of the building
- A = the Total Effective Floor Area (effective building area) in square metres of the building

-	Coefficient	
Type V	Wood Frame	1.5
Type IV-A	Encapsulated Mass Timber	0.8
Type IV-B	Rated Mass Timber	0.9
Type IV-C	Ordinary Mass Timber	1.0
Type IV-D	Un-Rated Mass Timber	1.5
Type III	Ordinary	1.0
Type II	Noncombustible	0.8
Type I	Fire Resistive	0.6

Contents		Factor
NC	Non-Combustible	-25%
LC	Limited Combustible	-15%
С	Combustible	0%
FB	Free Burning	15%
RB	Rapid Burning	25%

F=		10,000 L/min (round to the nearest 1,000L/min)
+=	/467L/min +	298/L/min = 10,453 L/min
_	Greater than 30	0%
	20.1 m to 30 m	10%
	10.1 m to 20 m	15%
	3.1 m to 10 m	20%
	0 m to 3 m	25%
	Separation Distance Maximu	m Exposure Adjustment Charge (max exposure charge can be 7
	Total	20% of 14934 L/min = 2,987 L/min
	West	30+ 0%
	South	30+ 0%
	East	30+ 0%
	North	5 20%
	Building Face	Dist(m) Charge
4)	Exposure Adjustment Char	ge
	r-	14934L/min - 7,467 L/min = 7,467 L/min
		50% L/min = 7,467 L/min
	Deduction of	50%
	Fully Supervised:	YES 10%
	Standard Water Suppry:	YES 10%
	NFPA 13 Sprinkler:	YES 30%
3)	System Type Reduction	VEC 200/
	ı –	
	F=	149341 / min + 0 1 / min = 14 9341 / min
	Contents Adjustment Factor	
2)	Occupancy and Contents A	djustment Factor
	F=	14,934 L/min
	A*=	12,799 m ²
	C=	0.6
	Type of Construction:	
1)		Town
1)	Required Fire Flow	

•	_0,000		(round to the
F=	167	L/s	
F=	2,642	gpm	



APPENDIX C

Sanitary Design Flow Calculations

Project No.: 22087



2.4 Design Criteria

The 2021 MSPU has used the following design criteria to project wastewater flows, determine capacity requirements and establish the wastewater infrastructure program:

- Residential Flow Generation: 255 Lpcd
- Employment Flow Generation: 310 Lped
- Peaking Factor based on Harmon formula with values between 2 and 4.
- Extraneous Flow Design Allowance:
 - 0.4 L/s/ha for existing areas¹
 - o 0.286 L/s/ha for new developments

2.4.1 Updated Per Capita Flow Criteria

The Region's 2016 Master Servicing Plan Update utilized 275 Lpcd for both residential and employment land uses to project growth average wastewater generation rate. More granular data was analysed through this MSPU to reassess the per capita demand criteria as it is important to maintain a reasonable factor of safety within the consumption criteria while avoiding over-conservatism which ultimately impacts the capital projects that are triggered and when they are triggered.

Through this MSPU, ten years of daily flow data was provided for each WWTP. For the purposes of evaluating the wastewater flow criteria an in-depth review of a three-year period of records (2018-2020) was completed for each wastewater treatment plant. **Table 4.3** presents the average per capita rate (combined population and employment) that was calculated for each wastewater treatment plant. To account for the influence of wet weather flows on the daily wastewater treatment plant flows, two additional average daily flows criteria were used:

- Dry average daily flows, which excluded days with greater than 5 mm of precipitation and preceding day
- Summer dry average daily flows: same as dry average daily flows but only accounted for flows within the month of June through to September.

The identification of appropriate wastewater per capita growth criteria was complicated due to:

- The observed inflow and infiltration which included:
 - o Substantial local and seasonal variability in daily flows
 - Observed flows to the wastewater treatment plants exceeding the water generated from the water treatment plants
- Limited ability to completed detailed employment vs. residential-based analysis
- Distribution of total equivalent population by treatment plant and ratio of residential and employment within each treatment plant catchment

¹ Refer to Section 2.4.2 for additional details



Through the review several potential per capita growth rates scenarios were considered including:

- Increasing to match the average daily flows
- Aligning to match the water daily demands
- Maintain the existing criteria
- Align with the observed dry average daily flows.

In consultation with the Region, it was decided that the per capital flow criteria would be adjusted to match the median average dry weather flow and while also applying the same ratio for residential and employment from the observed (local meter billing) water per capita rates. It should be noted that the use of the median flows was based on the Niagara Falls WWTP and not the Stevensville Douglastown Lagoons due to the majority of the WWTP with flow rates higher than the median represented smaller services areas including less than 50% of service population. Under this approach:

- The residential per capita rate was decreased to 255 Lpcd
- The employment per capita rate was increase to 310 Lpcd

The recommended residential and employment per capita rates represent a 7% reduction for the residential rate and a 12% increase for the employment rate compared to the Region's previous rate of 275 Lpcd for both residential and employment land uses.

	Per Capita Criteria (L/cap/d)			
WWTP	Average Flow	Average Dry Weather Flow (DWF)	Summer Average DWF – June to September Only	
Baker Road WWTP	254	229	178	
Port Dalhousie WWTP	286	260	210	
Port Weller WWTP	312	291	215	
NOTL Lagoon/WWTP	347	344	303	
Queenston WWTP	142	114	132	
Niagara Falls WWTP	299	262	219	
SD Lagoon	323	297	257	
Anger Ave WWTP	588	503	359	
Crystal Beach WWTP	548	497	410	
Seaway WWTP	581	568	511	
Welland WWTP	374	337	261	
Average	369	336	278	
Median	323	262-297	219-257	

Table 4.3 Per Capita Wastewater Flows by WWTP



Project:	Hennepin Autograph
Project No:	22087
Location:	Niagara Falls
Site Area:	1.06 ha

Existing Equivalent Population Calculations

As per Engineering Design Guidelines, City of Niagara Falls

Design flow = (Population in Thousands x Average Daily Flow x Peaking Factor)/86.4 + (Infiltration Rate x Area)

Persons Per Land Use

R1-R1	45.5	Persons/Ha	
R3-R4	96.4	Persons/Ha	
R5A-R5B	163.1	Persons/Ha	
General Industrial	153.2	Persons/Ha	
General Commercial	180.4	Persons/Ha	
Tourist Commercial	284.2	Persons/Ha	* Site is currently zoned

*Per Niagara Falls sewer design criteria

* Site is currently zoned as TC (Tourist commercial zone)

	TOTAL POPULATION
Residential	0
Commercial	302
Industrial	0
Total Equivalent Population	302

Peak flow Design Parameters

Average flow	380	litres/person/day
Infiltration	0.28	litres/second/ha

Harmon Peaking Factor

 $\mathsf{PF} = 1 + (14/(4 + (\mathsf{P}/1000)^{1/2}))$

	Harmon Peak
Total Population	Factor
302	4.00

Average Dry Weather Flow	1.33	l/s
Total Peak Wastewater Flow	5.31	l/s
Infiltration	0.30	l/s
Flow	5.61	l/s

Project: Hennepin Autograph Project No: 22087 Location: Niagara Falls

Location: Niagara Falls Site Area: 1.06 ha

Proposed Sanitary Flow Calculations

As per Engineering Design Guidelines, City of Niagara Falls 2016

Design flow = (Population in Thousands x Average Daily Flow x Peaking Factor)/86.4 + (Infiltration Rate x Area)

Population Densities*

Apartment (1 bed)	1.4	ppu	
Apartment (2 bed)	2.1	ppu	
Apartment (3 bed)	3.1	ppu	
Apartment (4 bed)	3.7	ppu	

*City of Toronto Design Criteria for Sewers and Watermains - Chapter 2 (2nd Edition, January 2021)

Site Statistics (Circulated Nov 17, 2023)

	Standard*	Executive**	Deluxe***	Presidential****	TOTAL POPULATION
Hotel	1066	62	6	6	1140
Total Units					1140

*Standard hotel room contains 1 bay/bed

**Executive hotel room contains 2 bay/bed

***Deluxe hotel room contains 3 bay/bed

****Presidential hotel room contains 4 bay/bed

Site Statistics (Circulated Nov 17, 2023)

	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	TOTAL POPULATION
Residential units	98	14	14	0	126
Total Units					126

Equivalent Population

	Standard*	Executive**	Deluxe***	Presidential****	TOTAL EQUIVALENT POPULATION
Hotel	1492	130	19	22	1664
Total Equivalent Population					1664

*Standard hotel room contains 1 bay/bed

**Executive hotel room contains 2 bays/beds

***Deluxe hotel room contains 3 bays/beds

****Presidential hotel room contains 4 bays/beds

Equivalent Population

	1 Bed	2 Bed	3 Bed	4 Bed	TOTAL EQUIVALENT POPULATION
Residential units	137	29	43	0	210
Total Equivalent Population					210

 Peak flow Design Parameters (per Niagara Region 2021 Water and Wastewater Master Servicing Plan Update - Niagara Falls WWTP)

 Average flow
 299 litres/capita/day

 Infiltration
 0.286 litres/second/ha

Harmon Peaking Factor (per MOE sewer desin guidelines)

 $PF = 1 + (14/(4+(P/1000)^{1/2}))$

Residential Population	Peak Factor		
1874	3.61		
	Residential Flow	23.41	l/s
	Infiltration	0.30	l/s
	Total Peak Flow	23.72	l/s



APPENDIX D

Stormwater Drainage and Stormwater Management Design Calculations

Project No.: 22087



SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Pre-Development Release Rate (Area 101)

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 3-Feb-23

Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 3-Feb-23

Rainfall Data

	Niagara Falls,		
Location:	Ontario	а	719.50
Event	5-year	b	6.34
		С	0.769

Site Data

Area (ha)	0.52
Runoff Coefficient	0.88
AC	0.46
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	84
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	107

The Rational Equation:

$$Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$$

where, Q

С

- = the design flow (m³/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient
- A = the drainage area (ha)

= rainfall intensity (mm/hr) i

$$Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$$

where, Q

С

A

i.

- = the design flow (m³/s)
- = the site specific runoff coefficient
- = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Pre-Development Release Rate (Area 102)

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 3-Feb-23

Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 3-Feb-23

Rainfall Data

	Niagara Falls,		
Location:	Ontario	а	719.50
Event	5-year	b	6.34
		С	0.769

Site Data

Area (ha)	0.54
Runoff Coefficient	0.77
AC	0.42
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	84
Rational Flow Rate (I/s)	97

The Rational Equation:

$$Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$$

where, Q

С

- = the design flow (m³/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient
- A = the drainage area (ha)

i = rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$

where, Q

С

A

i

- = the design flow (m³/s)
- = the site specific runoff coefficient
- = the drainage area (ha)
- = rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS **100-Year Flow Rate Calculations (Uncontrolled 201)**

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 3-Feb-23

Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 3-Feb-23

Rainfall Data

	Niagara Falls,		
Location:	Ontario	а	719.50
Event	5-year	b	6.34
		С	0.769

Site Data

Area (ha)	0.17
Runoff Coefficient	0.82
AC	0.14
Tc (min)	10
Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	84
Rational Flow Rate (l/s)	33

The Rational Equation:

$$Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{360}$$

where, Q

С A i

- the design flow (m³/s)
 the site specific runoff coefficient
 the drainage area (ha)
 rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS **Required Storage Calculations (Area 202)**

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 21-Feb-23

Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 21-Feb-23

Rainfall Data

	Niagara Falls,	Niagara Falls,		
Location:	Ontario	а	1264.57	
Event	100-year	b	7.72	
		С	0.7814	

Site Data

Area (ha)	0.89
Runoff Coefficient	0.90
AC	0.80
Tc (min)	10
Time Increment (min)	5
Release Rate (I/s)	74
Storage Required (m ³)	165

The Rational Equation:

 $Q = \frac{(C)(i)(A)}{2}$ 360

where,

C Α

i

Q

= the design flow (m³/s) = the site specific runoff coefficient

= the drainage area (ha) = rainfall intensity (mm/hr)

Time	Rainfall Intensity	Storm Runoff	Runoff Volume	Released Volume	Storage Volume	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(m ³ /s)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)	
10	134	0.30	179	45	134	
15	110	0.25	221	67	154	
20	94	0.21	252	89	163	
25	83	0.18	277	111	165	*****
30	74	0.17	297	134	164	
35	67	0.15	315	156	159	
40	62	0.14	330	178	152	
45	57	0.13	343	200	143	
50	53	0.12	355	223	132	
55	50	0.11	366	245	121	
60	47	0.10	376	267	109	
65	44	0.10	385	290	96	
70	42	0.09	394	312	82	
75	40	0.09	402	334	68	

SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Water Balance Calculation

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 20-Jan-23 Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 3-Feb-23

1. VOLUME TO BE RETAINED

	Total Area of Site (A) = Target Retention Depth (D) =	10,600 sq.m. 0.005 (m)
	Storage Volume Required = $V = A \times D =$	53.0 (cu.m.)
2. STORAGE VOLUME PROVI	IDED	
Landscape Areas		
	Area (A) =	0.02 sq.m.
	Initial Abstraction =	0.005 (m)
St	corage Volume Provided = V = A x ν x d =	0.0 (cu.m.)

Water Re-use Cistern

Storage Volume Required = **53.0** (cu.m.)

Storage Volume Provided = **55.0** (cu.m.)

SWM DESIGN CALCULATIONS Post-development TSS Removal Calculation

Project Name: Oakes Hotel Redevelopment Municipality: City of Niagara Falls Project No.: 22087 Date: 20-Jan-23 Prepared by: L.C. Checked by: Last Revised: 3-Feb-23

Mitigated TSS Removal Rates with Landscape Areas, Roof and OGS Unit Treatment Train

	Area	TSS Removal	% Area	Overall TSS
Mitigated	(Ha)	Credit	of Site	Removal
Conventinal Roof Area	0.57	100.0%	53.5%	53.5%
Conventional Pavement Area	0.47	0.0%	44.4%	0.0%
Landscaped Area	0.02	80.0%	2.1%	1.7%
Sub-Total Onsite TSS removal before OGS	1.06		100.0%	55.2%
Untreated TSS remaining %				
80% TSS Removal Credit for Remaining Untreated TSS for Filter Unit				
Total TSS removal				

Reference: New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual

Chapter 4 - TSS Removal Rates for BMP's in Series