

## Warren Woods Commercial Block City of Niagara Falls Transportation Impact Study

Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

January 2024 230368





### **Project Summary**



## Project Number 230368

Date: January 2024 Version 1.0.0

#### Client

Garner Niagara Development GP Inc. 239-80 Maritime Ontario Blvd. Brampton, Ontario

#### **Client Contact**

Yadvinder Deswal

#### **Consultant Project Team**

Stew Elkins, BES Scott Catton, C.E.T. Erica Bayley, P.Eng Creighton Chartier

### Warren Woods Commercial Block City of Niagara Falls Transportation Impact Study



Erica Bayley, P.Eng.

#### Disclaimer

This document has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof (the "project") and except for approval and commenting municipalities and agencies in their review and approval of this project, should not be relied upon or used for any other project without an independent check being carried out as to its suitability and prior written authorization of Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited being obtained. Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no responsibility or liability for the consequence of this document being used for a purpose other than the project for which it was commissioned. Any person using or relying on the document for such other purpose agrees and will by such use or reliance be taken to confirm their agreement to indemnify Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited for all loss or damage resulting there from. Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no responsibility or this document to any party other than the person by whom it was commissioned and the approval and commenting municipalities and agencies for the project.

To the extent that this report is based on information supplied by other parties, Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited accepts no liability for any loss or damage suffered by the client, whether through contract or tort, stemming from any conclusions based on data supplied by parties other than Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited and used by Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited in preparing this report.

© 1998 Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited. All rights reserved.



5A-150 Pinebush Road Cambridge ON N1R 8J8 p: 519.896.3163 905.381.2229 416.479.9684 www.ptsl.com



## **Executive Summary**

### Content

Garner Niagara Development GP Inc. retained Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) to conduct this Transportation Impact Study for a proposed retail and office development located on the northeast quadrant of Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue in the City of Niagara Falls.

This study determines the impacts of the development traffic on the adjacent road network and identifies any modifications recommended to accommodate the site generated traffic.

### **Development Concept**

The development concept includes two buildings with approximately  $3,871 \text{ m}^2$  (41,667 sq. ft.) of office and retail space.

The ground floor retail measures approximately 2,610  $m^2$  (29,094 sq.ft.) and the second floor office space measures approximately 1,261  $m^2$  (13,573 sq.ft.).

Vehicle access is proposed by a private driveway to Garner Road located approximately 80 metres north of Warren Woods Avenue. An emergency connection is proposed to Dockweed Drive near the bend in the roadway. The emergency connection will provide an active transportation connection between the site and the adjacent community.

The site's parking demand is proposed to be accommodated on-site by approximately 125 spaces.

Build-out is expected to occur in one phase by Year 2025.

### Conclusions

The main findings and conclusions of this study are as follows:

- Study Area: The intersections that form the study area include the Site Driveway with Garner Road.
- Development Generated Traffic: The subject site is forecast to generate approximately 87 AM peak hour trips and 194 PM peak hour trips.
- Forecast Parking Demand: The ITE Parking Generation Manual forecast's the site's parking demand to be



approximately 105 spaces. With a parking supply of 125 spaces, the site's parking demand is forecast to be less than the proposed supply.

- Existing Traffic Conditions: The intersection within the study area is currently operating with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.
- Opening Date Operations: The intersection within the study area is forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with a v/c ratio of less than 0.15. Minimal queuing is anticipated to occur on the site driveway approach to Garner Road.

Five-Year Horizon Operations: The intersection within the study area is forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with a v/c ratio of less than 0.15. Minimal queuing is anticipated to occur on the site driveway approach to Garner Road.

Remedial Measures: A southbound left-turn lane is not warranted at the proposed site driveway intersection with Garner Road. No changes to the existing lane configuration is recommended.

Stop control is an appropriate form of traffic control for the site driveway approach to Garner Road.

#### **Recommendations**

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended the site driveway approach to Garner Road operate under stop control. An Ra-1 (STOP SIGN) be added to the site driveway approach.



## Contents

| 1   | Introduction  | 1                               |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 1.1   | Overview  | 1                               |
| 2   | Existing Conditions   | 3                               |
| 2.1<br>2.2<br>2.3<br>2.4  | Road Network<br>Pedestrian and Cycling Network<br>Transit Service<br>Existing Traffic Volumes                                     | 3<br>3<br>4                     |
| 3   | Development Concept   | 8                               |
| <b>3.1</b><br><b>3.2</b><br>3.2.1<br>3.2.2<br>3.2.3<br><b>3.3</b> | Description<br>Parking<br>Proposed Supply<br>Zoning By-law Parking Requirements<br>Forecast Parking Demand<br>Vehicle Circulation | 8<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>10<br>11 |
| 4   | Traffic Forecast  | 12                              |
| 4.1<br>4.2  | Site Generated Traffic<br>Future Traffic  | 12<br>15                        |
| 4   | Traffic Operations  | 20                              |
| 4.1<br>4.2  | Opening Date Operations<br>Total Traffic Operations   | 21<br>23                        |
| 5   | Remedial Measures   | 25                              |
| 5.1<br>5.2  | Left-Turn Lane Warrants<br>Traffic Control  | 25<br>25                        |
| 6   | Conclusions and Recommendations   | 26                              |
| 6.1<br>6.2  | Conclusions<br>Recommendations  | 26<br>27                        |



## **Appendices**

| Appendix A | Pre-Study Consultation                         |
|------------|--|
| Appendix B | Existing Data                                  |
| Appendix C | AutoTURN Swept Path Analysis                   |
| Appendix D | Existing Year Traffic Operations Reports       |
| Appendix E | <b>Opening Year Traffic Operations Reports</b> |
| Appendix F | Total Traffic Operations Reports               |
| Appendix G | Left Turn Lane Warrant Nomograph               |
| Appendix H | OTM Book 12 – Justification 7 Signal Warrant   |

## **Figures**

| Site Location                                   | 2  |
|---|--|
| Existing Transit Network – Route 113/213        | 5  |
| Existing Transit Network – Route 105/205        | 6  |
| Existing Traffic Volumes                        | 7  |
| Site Concept Plan                               | 9  |
| Site Traffic Volumes                            | 14   |
| Opening Date Background Traffic Volumes         | 16   |
| Forecast Five-Year Background Traffic Volumes . | 17   |
| Opening Date Total Traffic Volumes              | 18   |
| Forecast Five-Year Total Traffic Volumes        | 19   |
|   | Site Location<br>Existing Transit Network – Route 113/213<br>Existing Transit Network – Route 105/205<br>Existing Traffic Volumes<br>Site Concept Plan<br>Site Traffic Volumes<br>Opening Date Background Traffic Volumes<br>Forecast Five-Year Background Traffic Volumes<br>Opening Date Total Traffic Volumes<br>Forecast Five-Year Total Traffic Volumes |

## **Tables**

| Table 3.1: | ITE Parking Generation                             | .11 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 4.1: | Site Generated Traffic                             | .12 |
| Table 4.2: | Estimated Trip Assignment                          | .13 |
| Table 2.1: | Existing and Opening Date Horizon Operations       | .22 |
| Table 2.1: | Existing and Forecast Five-Year Traffic Operations | 24  |



## **1** Introduction

### 1.1 Overview

Garner Niagara Development GP Inc. retained Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited (Paradigm) to conduct this Transportation Impact Study for a proposed retail and office development located on the northeast quadrant of Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue in the City of Niagara Falls. **Figure 1.1** illustrates the site location.

The scope of the study includes:

- Determine and assess the current study area traffic conditions;
- Forecast the additional traffic generated by the proposed development;
- Analyze the impacts of the additional traffic on the study area road network;
- Recommend remedial measures to mitigate any identified site traffic related impacts; and
- An assessment of vehicle circulation within the site.

**Appendix A** contains the pre-study consultation material and responses from the Niagara Region and the City of Niagara Falls. The study has been conducted in general accordance with the Region's<sup>1</sup> TIS Guidelines and the City's<sup>2</sup> TIS Guidelines <sup>3</sup>. The analysis periods assessed include weekday AM and PM peak hours.

The only intersection included in the study area is Garner Road at the proposed site driveway.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Niagara Region, Guidelines for Transportation Impact Studies, May 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> City of Niagara Falls, Guidelines for the Preparation of Transportation Impact Studies and Site Plan Review, November 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Guidelines for Transportation Impact Studies, Niagara Region, May 2012





Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

Site Location Figure 1.1

## 2 Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Road Network

Garner Road is a north/south two-lane local road<sup>4</sup> with an urban crosssection and posted speed limit of 60 km/h. At the proposed site driveway location, Garner Road is straight and flat with no apparent sight distance issues.

### 2.2 Pedestrian and Cycling Network

Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Garner Road within the study area and on-street bike lanes are also provided in both directions.

An asphalt multi-use trail currently exists on the southern property limit along Warren Woods Avenue. The trail runs from Garner Road towards the east where it terminates into Warren Woods Trail.

The Niagara Region Transportation Master Plan<sup>5</sup> and the City of Niagara Falls Transportation Master Plan<sup>6</sup> do not identify any additional active transportation facilities along Garner Road within the study area.

### 2.3 Transit Service

Niagara region transit operates the transit network within the City of Niagara Falls. There are two stops located within 500 m of the subject site. These routes provide connectivity to the larger City-Wide transit network. **Figure 2.1A-B** illustrates the existing transit network.

The closest existing northbound transit stop is located on the southeast corner of Garner Road and Sourgum Avenue (located north of the subject site). The walking distance to this stop is approximately 100 metres or less than 2 minutes. The closest existing southbound transit stop is adjacent to the northbound transit stop on the west side of Garner Road. The walking distance to the stop is approximately 115 metres or less than 2 minutes.

The transit routes that service these stops are:

Route 113/213: services Department Canadian Drive Hub to Mt. Caramel Plaza via Montrose Road. Service is provided 7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> (City of Niagara Falls). Niagara Falls Sustainable Transportation Master Plan. (Niagara Falls. October 2011).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> (City of Niagara Falls). Niagara Falls Sustainable Transportation Master Plan. (Niagara Falls. October 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> (Niagara Region). Road Classification Map (Niagara Falls. October 2011).

days a week. Weekday and Saturday service is provided from 6:00 AM to 10:30 PM with headways in the order of 60 minutes. Sunday and Holiday service is provided from 7:00 AM to 7:30 PM with headways in the order of 60 minutes. Route 113 is designated as Route 213 on Sunday or after 7:15 PM Monday to Saturday. Route 213 continues along the same route as Route 113.

Route 105/205: services Department Drive Hub to Mt. Caramel Plaza via Kala Road. Service is provided 7 days a week. Weekday and Saturday service is provided from 6:00 AM to 10:00 PM with headways in the order of 60 minutes. Sunday and Holiday service is provided from 7:00 AM to 8:00 PM with headways in the order of 60 minutes. Route 105 is designated as Route 205 on Sunday or after 7:15 PM Monday to Saturday. Route 213 continues along the same route as Route 113.

### 2.4 Existing Traffic Volumes

On Wednesday 12 July 2023, Paradigm conducted an 8-hour turning movement count at the intersection of Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue to capture the volumes on Garner Road at the new driveway location.

**Figure 2.2** illustrates the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study area intersection. **Appendix B** contains the existing count data.







Existing Transit Service Route 113/213

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

Figure 2.1A





Existing Transit Service Route 105/205

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

Figure 2.1B







## **Existing Traffic Volumes**

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

Figure 2.2

### 3 Development Concept

#### 3.1 Description

The development concept includes two buildings with approximately  $3,871 \text{ m}^2$  (41,667 sq. ft.) of office and retail space.

The ground floor retail measures approximately 2,610 m<sup>2</sup> (28,094sq.ft.) and the second floor office space measures approximately 1,261 m<sup>2</sup> (13,573 sq.ft.).

Vehicle access is proposed by a private driveway to Garner Road located approximately 80 metres north of Warren Woods Avenue. The driveway approach is assumed to operate with one inbound and one outbound lane with the outbound lane operating under stop control.

An emergency connection is proposed to Dockweed Drive near the bend in the roadway. The emergency connection will provide an active transportation connection between the site and the adjacent community.

The site's parking demand is proposed to be accommodated on-site by approximately 125 spaces.

Build-out is expected to occur in one phase by Year 2025.







Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

Figure 3.1

Site Concept Plan

### 3.2 Parking

#### 3.2.1 Proposed Supply

The proposed parking supply consists of 125 parking spaces and includes four accessible parking spaces.

The accessible parking spaces will be signed and marked according to the City's by-law requirements.

Each building is also designed with two 9 metre x 3 metre loading zones.

#### 3.2.2 Zoning By-law Parking Requirements

The City of Niagara Falls Zoning By-law 79-200 parking requirements for the subject site is 136 spaces. The proposed parking supply is reduced compared to the City's Zoning By-law requirements.

The number of accessible parking spaces and loading zones meets the City's Zoning By-law requirements.

#### 3.2.3 Forecast Parking Demand

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Parking Generation manual<sup>7</sup> provides data on surveys across the USA and Canada of peak parking demand of different land uses.

The parking demand for the subject site has been estimated using the average rates for General Office Building (710) and Strip Retail Plaza (< 40k) (822).

**Table 3.3** summarizes the ITE parking generation estimate. The site's parking demand is forecast to be 105 spaces. With a parking supply of 125 spaces, the site's parking demand is forecast to be less than the proposed supply.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Institute of Transportation Engineers, *Parking Generation*, 5th ed., (Washington, DC: ITE, 2019).



| Land Use                         | GFA                  | Parking Generation |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| General Office Building (710)    | 1,261 m <sup>2</sup> | 26 spaces          |
| Strip Retail Plaza (< 40k) (822) | 2,610 m <sup>2</sup> | 79 spaces          |
| Total                            |                      | 105 spaces         |
| Proposed Supply                  |                      | 125 spaces         |
| Surplus/Deficit                  |                      | +20 spaces         |

#### **TABLE 3.1: ITE PARKING GENERATION**

### 3.3 Vehicle Circulation

Heavy vehicle circulation has been assessed using AutoTURN swept path analysis software. Six design vehicles were used in the analysis:

- Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)<sup>8</sup> Light Single Unit (LSU).
- ► TAC Medium Single Unit (MSU);
- TAC Heavy Single Unit (HSU);
- Niagara Region Garbage Truck;
- ▶ Wayne Titan (garbage truck); and
- ▶ NCHRP Report 659 Pumper Fire Truck.

Appendix C contains the AutoTURN swept path analysis.

No conflicts with the proposed on-site geometry is noted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> (Transportation Association of Canada). Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, Chapter 2.4 – Design Vehicles. (Washington, June 2017).



### 4 Traffic Forecast

### 4.1 Site Generated Traffic

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual<sup>9</sup> was used to estimate the peak hour vehicular traffic generated by the proposed development. The regression equations were used. The following land use codes were used to estimate the site's trip generation:

- General Office Building (LUC 710); and
- ▶ Shopping Plaza (<44k) (LUC 822).

**Table 4.1** summarizes the estimated trip generation.

To remain conservative, no modal split adjustments have been applied to the trip generation estimate to account for active transportation or transit-oriented trips.

The subject site is estimated to generate approximately 87 AM peak hour trips and 194 PM peak hour trips.

|   | AM | Peak | Hour  | PM | Peak | Hour  |
|---|----|------|-------|----|------|-------|
|   | In | Out  | Total | In | Out  | Total |
| Shopping Plaza (<44k)<br>(LUC 822)¹ 28,094 sq.ft.               | 34 | 23   | 57    | 81 | 81   | 162   |
| General Office Building (LUC 710) <sup>2</sup><br>13,573 sq.ft. | 26 | 4    | 30    | 5  | 27   | 32    |
| Total Generation  | 60 | 27   | 87    | 86 | 108  | 194   |

#### **TABLE 4.1: SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC**

<sup>1</sup>AM Peak Hour - Ln(T) = 0.66 Ln(X) + 1.84, PM Peak Hour - Ln(T) = 0.71 Ln(X) + 2.72

<sup>2</sup>AM Peak Hour - Ln(T) = 0.86 Ln(X) + 1.16, PM Peak Hour - Ln(T) = 0.83 Ln(X) + 1.29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>(Institute of Transportation Engineers). Trip Generation Manual 11<sup>th</sup> Edition. (Washington DC, September 2021).



**Table 4.2** summarizes the estimated trip distribution. The distribution was developed using existing traffic volumes in the study area as confirmed in pre-consultation with the City of Niagara Falls.

Figure 4.1 illustrates the site generated traffic.

### TABLE 4.2: ESTIMATED TRIP ASSIGNMENT

| Origin/Dectination    | AM Pea | ak Hour | PM Pea | ak Hour |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Ongin/Destination     | In     | Out     | In     | Out     |
| North via Garner Road | 60%    | 45%     | 40%    | 70%     |
| South via Garner Road | 40%    | 55%     | 60%    | 30%     |
| Total                 | 100%   | 100%    | 100%   | 100%    |









Site Traffic Volumes

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368

#### 4.2 Future Traffic

Two horizon years are assessed in this study. The opening date of the development (Year 2025) and five-year from opening date (Year 2030). The future traffic volumes near the subject site are estimated to consist of:

- Increased non-site traffic (generalized background traffic growth); and
- Traffic generated by the proposed development.

During pre-study consultations, City staff confirmed the use of a 2% per annum growth rate for estimating the future non-site increases in traffic in the study area.

**Figure 4.2** illustrates the forecast opening date background traffic volumes. **Figure 4.3** illustrates the forecast five-year background traffic volumes.

**Figure 4.4** illustrates the forecast opening date total traffic volumes (background + site traffic). **Figure 4.5** illustrates the forecast five-year total traffic volumes (background + site traffic).







## **Opening Year Background Traffic** Volumes

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368





## Forecast Five-Year Background Traffic Volumes

Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368





Warren Woods Commercial Block 230368



### **Forecast Five-Year Total Traffic** Volumes

Warren Woods Commercial Block

Figure 4.5

230368

## **4** Traffic Operations

Intersection level of service (LOS) is a recognized method of quantifying the efficiency of traffic flow at intersections. It is based on the delay experienced by individual vehicles executing the various movements. The delay is related to the number of vehicles desiring to make a movement, compared to the estimated capacity for that movement. The capacity is based on several criteria related to the opposing traffic flows. The highest possible rating is LOS A, under which the average total delay is equal or less than 10.0 seconds per vehicle. When the average delay exceeds 80 seconds at signalized intersections (50 seconds at unsignalized), the movement is considered to have a LOS F and remedial measures are usually implemented if they are feasible.

The operations of the study area intersections were evaluated using Synchro 11 and HCM 2000 procedures. The intersection analysis considered the following measures of performance:

- The LOS for each turning movement. LOS is based on the average control delay per vehicle;
- ▶ The volume to capacity ratio (v/c) for each intersection; and
- ▶ 95th percentile queue length (metres).

Under the City's<sup>10</sup> TIS Guidelines, the following criteria indicate critical conditions and signify that mitigation measures may need to be considered:

- At unsignalized intersections,
  - LOS, based on average delay per vehicle, on individual movements meets or exceeds LOS "E;" or
  - Queues for an individual movement are projected to exceed turning lane storage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> City of Niagara Falls, Guidelines for the Preparation of Transportation Impact Studies and Site Plan Review, November 2011



### 4.1 **Opening Date Operations**

**Table 2.1** summarizes the level of service conditions for the existing traffic forecast, opening date background traffic forecast, and opening date total traffic forecast. There are no critical movements noted.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with v/c ratios of less than 0.15 with minimal queuing on the driveway approach. Site traffic is also forecast to have negligible impact to the mainline traffic operations of Garner Road. Volume to capacity ratios for northbound and southbound approaches are forecast to increase by 0.03.

**Appendix E** contains the detailed Synchro reports for the forecast opening date horizon.



#### TABLE 2.1: EXISTING AND OPENING DATE HORIZON OPERATIONS

| iod      | itior      |                         |         |       |      | Dir   | ectio    | n / Mc  | oveme | ent / A  | <b>\</b> ppro | ach     |          |
|----------|------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|----------|---------|-------|----------|---------------|---------|----------|
| Per      | pud        |                         | Control |       | We   | stbo  | und      | Nor     | thbo  | und      | Soι           | uthbo   | und      |
| Analysis | Traffic Co | Intersection            | Туре    | MOE   | Left | Right | Approach | Through | Right | Approach | Left          | Through | Approach |
|          |            |                         |         | LOS   |      |       |          | А       | >     | Α        | <             | А       | Α        |
|          | stinç      | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay |      |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 0       | 0        |
|          | Exi        | Driveway                | 11100   | V/C   |      |       |          | 0.02    | >     |          | <             | 0.00    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  |      |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 0       |          |
| lour     | nd         |                         |         | LOS   |      |       |          | А       | >     | Α        | <             | А       | Α        |
| ak H     | Jrou       | Garner Road             | TWSC    | Delay |      |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 0       | 0        |
| l Pe     | ackç       | Driveway                | 1000    | V/C   |      |       |          | 0.03    | >     |          | <             | 0.00    |          |
| AN       | Ő          |                         |         | 95th  |      |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 0       |          |
|          |            |                         |         | LOS   | А    | >     | Α        | А       | >     | Α        | <             | А       | Α        |
|          | otal       | Garner Road             | TWSC    | Delay | 9    | >     | 9        | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 3       | 3        |
|          | Tc         | Driveway                | 1000    | V/C   | 0.03 | >     |          | 0.04    | >     |          | <             | 0.03    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  | 1    | >     |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 1       |          |
|          | D          |                         |         | LOS   |      |       |          | А       | >     | Α        | <             | А       | Α        |
|          | stinç      | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay |      |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 0       | 0        |
|          | Exi        | Driveway                |         | V/C   |      |       |          | 0.05    | >     |          | <             | 0.00    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  |      |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 0       |          |
| lour     | nd         |                         |         | LOS   |      |       |          | А       | >     | Α        | <             | А       | Α        |
| ak H     | grou       | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay |      |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 0       | 0        |
| 1 Pe     | ackę       | Driveway                |         | V/C   |      |       |          | 0.05    | >     |          | <             | 0.00    |          |
| РМ       | Ê          |                         |         | 95th  |      |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 0       |          |
|          |            |                         |         | LOS   | А    | >     | Α        | А       | >     | Α        | <             | Α       | Α        |
|          | otal       | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay | 10   | >     | 10       | 0       | >     | 0        | <             | 3       | 3        |
|          | Ĕ          | Driveway                |         | V/C   | 0.14 | >     |          | 0.08    | >     |          | <             | 0.03    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  | 4    | >     |          | 0       | >     |          | <             | 1       |          |

MOE - Measure of Effectiveness TWSC - Two-Way Stop Control LOS - Level of Service V/C - Volume to Capacity Ratio 95th - 95th Percentile Queue Length > - Shared Right-Turn Lane

< - Shared Left-Turn Lane



### 4.2 Total Traffic Operations

The study area intersection operations followed the same methodology used for the opening date traffic conditions.

**Table 4.1** summarizes the level of service conditions for the existing traffic volumes, forecast five-year background traffic volumes, and forecast five-year traffic volumes. There are no critical movements noted.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with v/c ratios of less than 0.15 with minimal queuing on the driveway approach. Site traffic is also forecast to have negligible impact to the mainline traffic operations of Garner Road. Volume to capacity ratios for northbound and southbound approaches are forecast to increase by 0.04.

**Appendix F** contains the detailed Synchro reports for the forecast fiveyear horizon.



#### **TABLE 2.1: EXISTING AND FORECAST FIVE-YEAR TRAFFIC OPERATIONS**

| iod      | itior      |                         |         |       | Direction / Movement / Approach |       |          |         |       |          |      |         |          |
|----------|------------|-------------------------|---------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|----------|---------|-------|----------|------|---------|----------|
| Per      | pudi       |                         | Control |       | We                              | stboı | und      | Nor     | thbo  | und      | Soι  | thbo    | und      |
| Analysis | Traffic Co | Intersection            | Туре    | MOE   | Left                            | Right | Approach | Through | Right | Approach | Left | Through | Approach |
|          |            |                         |         | LOS   |                                 |       |          | А       | >     | Α        | <    | А       | Α        |
|          | stinç      | Garner Road             | TWSC    | Delay |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <    | 0       | 0        |
|          | Exi        | Driveway                | 1000    | V/C   |                                 |       |          | 0.02    | >     |          | <    | 0.00    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <    | 0       |          |
| lour     | nd         |                         |         | LOS   |                                 |       |          | A       | >     | Α        | <    | А       | Α        |
| ak F     | grou       | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <    | 0       | 0        |
| и Ре     | ack        | Driveway                |         | V/C   |                                 |       |          | 0.03    | >     |          | <    | 0.00    |          |
| AN       | m          |                         |         | 95th  |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <    | 0       |          |
|          |            |                         |         | LOS   | А                               | >     | Α        | A       | >     | Α        | <    | А       | Α        |
|          | otal       | Garner Road<br>and Site | TWSC    | Delay | 9                               | >     | 9        | 0       | >     | 0        | <    | 3       | 3        |
|          | Ĕ          | Driveway                |         | V/C   | 0.03                            | >     |          | 0.04    | >     |          | <    | 0.03    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  | 1                               | >     |          | 0       | >     |          | <    | 1       |          |
|          | g          | Corner Dood             |         | LOS   |                                 |       |          | A       | >     | Α        | <    | A       | Α        |
|          | istin      | and Site                | TWSC    | Delay |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <    | 0       | 0        |
|          | Ex         | Driveway                |         | V/C   |                                 |       |          | 0.05    | >     |          | <    | 0.00    |          |
| _        |            |                         |         | 95th  |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <    | 0       |          |
| Hou      | nnd        | Garner Road             |         | LOS   |                                 |       |          | A       | >     | A        | <    | A       | A        |
| eak      | ٩          | and Site                | TWSC    | Delay |                                 |       |          | 0       | >     | 0        | <    | 0       | 0        |
| MP       | 3acl       | Driveway                |         | V/C   |                                 |       |          | 0.06    | >     |          | <    | 0.00    |          |
| ٩        |            |                         |         | 95th  | •                               |       |          | 0       | >     |          | <    | 0       |          |
|          |            | Garner Road             |         | LOS   | A                               | >     | A        | A       | >     | A        | <    | A       | A        |
|          | ota        | and Site                | TWSC    | Delay | 10                              | >     | 10       |         | >     | U        | <    | 3       | 3        |
|          |            | Driveway                |         | V/C   | 0.14                            | >     |          | 0.09    | >     |          | <    | 0.03    |          |
|          |            |                         |         | 95th  | 4                               | >     |          | U       | >     |          | <    |         |          |

MOE - Measure of Effectiveness TWSC - Two-Way Stop Control LOS - Level of Service

95th - 95th Percentile Queue Length > - Shared Right-Turn Lane

< - Shared Left-Turn Lane

V/C - Volume to Capacity Ratio

### **5 Remedial Measures**

### 5.1 Left-Turn Lane Warrants

The Ministry of Transportation's Design Supplement to the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Guide for Canadian Roads<sup>11</sup> provides guidance on the assessment of and/or need for auxiliary left-turn lanes at intersections. **Appendix G** contains the left-turn lane warrant nomographs.

Based on the warrant analysis a southbound left-turn lane is not warranted at the site driveway intersection with Garner Road. No changes to the existing lane configuration at the site driveway are recommended.

### 5.2 Traffic Control

The site driveway intersection with Garner Road was assessed using the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM Book 12 – Justification 7) signal warrant procedures for total traffic conditions. **Appendix H** contains the warrant analysis.

Based on the warrant analysis, a traffic control signal is not warranted at the site driveway intersection with Garner Road. Stop control is an appropriate form of traffic control for the site driveway approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Transportation Association of Canada, *MTO Design Supplement for TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads – Appendix 9A*, Ministry of Transportation of Ontario, 2017.



## **6** Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1 Conclusions

The main findings and conclusions of this study are as follows:

- **Study Area:** The intersections that form the study area include the Site Driveway with Garner Road.
- Development Generated Traffic: The subject site is forecast to generate approximately 87 AM peak hour trips and 194 PM peak hour trips.
- Forecast Parking Demand: The ITE Parking Generation Manual forecast's the site's parking demand to be approximately 105 spaces. With a parking supply of 125 spaces, the site's parking demand is forecast to be less than the proposed supply.
- Existing Traffic Conditions: The intersection within the study area is currently operating with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.
- Opening Date Operations: The intersection within the study area is forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with a v/c ratio of less than 0.15. Minimal queuing is anticipated to occur on the site driveway approach to Garner Road.

Five-Year Horizon Operations: The intersection within the study area is forecast to operate with acceptable levels of service and well within capacity during the AM and PM peak hours.

The site driveway approach is forecast to operate with delays in the LOS A range with a v/c ratio of less than 0.15. Minimal queuing is anticipated to occur on the site driveway approach to Garner Road.

Remedial Measures: A southbound left-turn lane is not warranted at the proposed site driveway intersection with Garner Road. No changes to the existing lane configuration is recommended.

Stop control is an appropriate form of traffic control for the site driveway approach to Garner Road.



### 6.2 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended the site driveway approach to Garner Road operate under stop control. An Ra-1 (STOP SIGN) be added to the site driveway approach.



## **Appendix A**

**Pre-Study Consultation** 



#### **Creighton Chartier**

| From:    | Creighton Chartier  |
|----------|---|
| Sent:    | June 14, 2023 11:01 AM  |
| То:      | John Grubich  |
| Cc:      | Scott Catton  |
| Subject: | RE: [EXTERNAL]-Scope of Work - TIS - Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue Commercial Block |
|          | (Block 302 of Plan 59M-463 )  |

Thank you for the response John.

Regards,

#### **Creighton Chartier**

Transportation Consultant, Associate (he/him)

#### Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

5A-150 Pinebush Road, Cambridge ON N1R 8J8 p: 905.381.2229 x504 m: 905.242.2420 w: www.ptsl.com



From: John Grubich <jgrubich@niagarafalls.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2023 10:31 AM
To: Creighton Chartier <cchartier@ptsl.com>
Cc: Scott Catton <scatton@ptsl.com>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL]-Scope of Work - TIS - Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue Commercial Block (Block 302 of Plan 59M-463 )

Creighton;

Thank you for forwarding your terms of reference for this project.

I made some notes within your e-mail.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

**John Grubich, C.E.T.** | Traffic Planning Supervisor | Municipal Works - Transportation Services | City of Niagara Falls 8208 Heartland Forest Road | Niagara Falls, ON L2H 0L7 | (905) 356-7521 ext 5214 | Fax 905-356-5576 | jgrubich@niagarafalls.ca

From: Creighton Chartier <<u>cchartier@ptsl.com</u>> Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2023 10:51 AM To: John Grubich <<u>jgrubich@niagarafalls.ca</u>>; Dunsmore, Susan <<u>Susan.Dunsmore@niagararegion.ca</u>>
Cc: Scott Catton <<u>scatton@ptsl.com</u>>

Subject: [EXTERNAL]-Scope of Work - TIS - Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue Commercial Block (Block 302 of Plan 59M-463)

#### Hello All,

Paradigm has been retained to prepare a Transportation Impact Study for the proposed development of Block 302 of Plan 59M-463 in the City of Niagara Falls. The subject site is located on the northeast corner of Warren Woods Avenue and Garner Road. The conceptual site plan is attached.

The property owner is proposing a retail plaza with second storey office space. The proposed development is comprised of two buildings; building one contains 1,014 m<sup>2</sup> of retail space while building two contains 1,286 m<sup>2</sup> of retail space with 1,286 m<sup>2</sup> of office space on the second level. Vehicle access is proposed by a private driveway to Garner Road located approximately 95 metres north of Warren Woods Avenue (Centreline to Centreline). A pedestrian/emergency connection is proposed to Dockweed Drive. This connection will be closed to regular traffic through use of bollards. A total parking supply of 131 spaces is proposed. The build-out date is TBD.

#### Proposed Terms of Reference (Transportation Impact Study)

#### Study Guidelines:

• Generally follow the City of Niagara Falls Guidelines for the Preparation of Transportation Impact Studies and Site Plan Review.

#### Study Area Intersections:

- Garner Road at Warren Woods Avenue (unsignalized); and remove
- Garner Road at the proposed site driveway.

#### Analysis Periods:

- Weekday AM peak hour
- Weekday PM peak hour

#### Existing Data:

• Collect new TMC data for the above noted intersections. Collect Traffic volumes on Garner Road north of Warren Woods Avenue by the proposed plaza only

#### Horizon Year:

- Exiting conditions
   Opening Day/year
- Five-years from the date the study opening day/year

#### Analysis:

• Synchro 11 with HCM 2000 analysis

#### Site Circulation:

- AutoTURN Analysis for the following design vehicles:
  - o Garbage Truck
  - TAC MSU
  - o TAC P
  - Fire Truck (on-site fire route(s) only)

#### Traffic Forecast:

• Background traffic annual growth rate of 2% per annum.

• Other approved developments to include in background. Please identify if any specific development applications should be included. No other developments to include as background traffic

#### Trip Generation:

- ITE Trip Generation Data 11th Edition
  - Strip Retail Plaza (LUC 822)
  - General Office Building (LUC 710)
- Preliminary trip generation
  - AM Peak Hour 83 total (58 in and 25 out)
  - PM Peak Hour 180 total (79 in and 101 out)
- No modal split reductions.

#### Trip Distribution:

• Existing Traffic Patterns

#### Future Road Improvements:

• None, unless identified - none for the immediate area

#### **Remedial Measures:**

- OTM Traffic Control signal warrants
- Left-Turn lane warrants

#### Report:

• Report documenting the study methodologies, findings and conclusions.

#### Regards, Creighton Chartier

Transportation Consultant, Associate (he/him)

#### Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited

5A-150 Pinebush Road, Cambridge ON N1R 8J8 p: 905.381.2229 x504 m: 905.242.2420 w: <u>www.ptsl.com</u>



This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the sender immediately. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this e-mail are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited. Finally, the recipient should check this e-mail and any attachments for the presence of viruses. Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited Solutions Limited accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

#### **Creighton Chartier**

| From:    | Dunsmore, Susan <susan.dunsmore@niagararegion.ca></susan.dunsmore@niagararegion.ca>                            |
|----------|--|
| Sent:    | June 13, 2023 3:22 PM  |
| То:      | Creighton Chartier; John Grubich   |
| Cc:      | Scott Catton   |
| Subject: | RE: Scope of Work - TIS - Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue Commercial Block (Block 302 of<br>Plan 59M-463 ) |

#### Hello

Thank you for circulating us on this terms of reference, the Region did not require a TIS for this development, therefore we have no comments on the scope if you require anything further please contact me at your convenience.

#### Thank you



From: Creighton Chartier <cchartier@ptsl.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2023 10:51 AM
To: John Grubich <jgrubich@niagarafalls.ca>; Dunsmore, Susan <Susan.Dunsmore@niagararegion.ca>
Cc: Scott Catton <scatton@ptsl.com>
Subject: Scope of Work - TIS - Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue Commercial Block (Block 302 of Plan 59M-463 )

**CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL:** This email originated from outside of the Niagara Region email system. Use caution when clicking links or opening attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello All,

Paradigm has been retained to prepare a Transportation Impact Study for the proposed development of Block 302 of Plan 59M-463 in the City of Niagara Falls. The subject site is located on the northeast corner of Warren Woods Avenue and Garner Road. The conceptual site plan is attached.

The property owner is proposing a retail plaza with second storey office space. The proposed development is comprised of two buildings; building one contains 1,014 m<sup>2</sup> of retail space while building two contains 1,286 m<sup>2</sup> of retail space with 1,286 m<sup>2</sup> of office space on the second level. Vehicle access is proposed by a private driveway to Garner Road located approximately 95 metres north of Warren Woods Avenue (Centreline to Centreline). A pedestrian/emergency connection is proposed to Dockweed Drive. This connection will be

closed to regular traffic through use of bollards. A total parking supply of 131 spaces is proposed. The buildout date is TBD.

#### Proposed Terms of Reference (Transportation Impact Study)

#### Study Guidelines:

• Generally follow the City of Niagara Falls Guidelines for the Preparation of Transportation Impact Studies and Site Plan Review.

#### Study Area Intersections:

- Garner Road at Warren Woods Avenue (unsignalized); and
- Garner Road at the proposed site driveway.

#### Analysis Periods:

- Weekday AM peak hour
- Weekday PM peak hour

#### Existing Data:

• Collect new TMC data for the above noted intersections.

#### Horizon Year:

- Exiting conditions
- Five-years from the date the study

#### Analysis:

• Synchro 11 with HCM 2000 analysis

#### Site Circulation:

- AutoTURN Analysis for the following design vehicles:
  - Garbage Truck
  - TAC MSU
  - o TAC P
  - Fire Truck (on-site fire route(s) only)

#### Traffic Forecast:

- Background traffic annual growth rate of 2% per annum.
- Other approved developments to include in background. Please identify if any specific development applications should be included.

#### Trip Generation:

- ITE Trip Generation Data 11th Edition
  - Strip Retail Plaza (LUC 822)
  - General Office Building (LUC 710)
- Preliminary trip generation
  - AM Peak Hour 83 total (58 in and 25 out)
  - PM Peak Hour 180 total (79 in and 101 out)
- No modal split reductions.

#### Trip Distribution:

• Existing Traffic Patterns

#### Future Road Improvements:

• None, unless identified

#### **Remedial Measures:**

- OTM Traffic Control signal warrants
- Left-Turn lane warrants

#### Report:

• Report documenting the study methodologies, findings and conclusions.

Regards, **Creighton Chartier** *Transportation Consultant, Associate (he/him)* 

#### **Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited**

5A-150 Pinebush Road, Cambridge ON N1R 8J8 p: 905.381.2229 x504 m: 905.242.2420 w: <u>www.ptsl.com</u>



Employee-owned | Client-centric | Solution-focused



The Regional Municipality of Niagara Confidentiality Notice The information contained in this communication including any attachments may be confidential, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send this communication to the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.

## **Appendix B**

**Existing Data** 









## Appendix C

AutoTURN Swept Path Analysis















## **Appendix D**

**Existing Year Traffic Operations Reports** 



|                              | ✓        | •    | <b>†</b> | 1    | <b>&gt;</b> | Ŧ          |
|------------------------------|----------|------|----------|------|-------------|------------|
| Movement                     | WBL      | WBR  | NBT      | NBR  | SBL         | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations          | ¥        |      | 1.       |      |             | đ          |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)       | 0        | 0    | 38       | 0    | 0           | 45         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)        | 0        | 0    | 38       | 0    | 0           | 45         |
| Sign Control                 | Stop     | · ·  | Free     | •    | •           | Free       |
| Grade                        | 0%       |      | 0%       |      |             | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor             | 0.92     | 0.92 | 0.92     | 0.92 | 0.92        | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)       | 0        | 0    | 41       | 0    | 0           | 49         |
| Pedestrians                  | 1        | · ·  |          | •    | •           |            |
| Lane Width (m)               | 36       |      |          |      |             |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)          | 1.2      |      |          |      |             |            |
| Percent Blockage             | 0        |      |          |      |             |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)       | <u> </u> |      |          |      |             |            |
| Median type                  |          |      | None     |      |             | None       |
| Median storage veh)          |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| Upstream signal (m)          |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| pX, platoon unblocked        |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| vC, conflicting volume       | 91       | 42   |          |      | 42          |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol        |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol        |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol           | 91       | 42   |          |      | 42          |            |
| tC, single (s)               | 6.4      | 6.2  |          |      | 4.1         |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)              |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| tF (s)                       | 3.5      | 3.3  |          |      | 2.2         |            |
| p0 queue free %              | 100      | 100  |          |      | 100         |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)          | 909      | 1028 |          |      | 1566        |            |
| Direction. Lane #            | WB 1     | NB 1 | SB 1     |      |             |            |
| Volume Total                 | 0        | 41   | 49       |      |             |            |
| Volume Left                  | 0        | 0    | 0        |      |             |            |
| Volume Right                 | 0        | 0    | 0        |      |             |            |
| cSH                          | 1700     | 1700 | 1566     |      |             |            |
| Volume to Capacity           | 0.00     | 0.02 | 0.00     |      |             |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)        | 0.0      | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |             |            |
| Control Delay (s)            | 0.0      | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |             |            |
| Lane LOS                     | A        | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |             |            |
| Approach Delay (s)           | 0.0      | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |             |            |
| Approach LOS                 | A        |      |          |      |             |            |
| Intersection Summary         |          |      |          |      |             |            |
| Average Delay                |          |      | 0.0      |      |             |            |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation   |      | 7.0%     | IC   | U Level o   | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)        |          |      | 15       |      |             |            |

|                              | <      | •    | <b>†</b> | 1    | 1         | Ŧ          |
|------------------------------|--------|------|----------|------|-----------|------------|
| Movement                     | WBL    | WBR  | NBT      | NBR  | SBL       | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations          | ¥.     |      | î,       |      |           | ្ព         |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)       | 0      | 0    | 77       | 0    | 0         | 52         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)        | 0      | 0    | 77       | 0    | 0         | 52         |
| Sign Control                 | Stop   |      | Free     |      |           | Free       |
| Grade                        | 0%     |      | 0%       |      |           | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor             | 0.92   | 0.92 | 0.92     | 0.92 | 0.92      | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)       | 0      | 0    | 84       | 0    | 0         | 57         |
| Pedestrians                  |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Lane Width (m)               |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)          |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Percent Blockage             |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)       |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Median type                  |        |      | None     |      |           | None       |
| Median storage veh)          |        |      |          |      |           | -          |
| Upstream signal (m)          |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| pX, platoon unblocked        |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| vC, conflicting volume       | 141    | 84   |          |      | 84        |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol        |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol        |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol           | 141    | 84   |          |      | 84        |            |
| tC, single (s)               | 6.4    | 6.2  |          |      | 4.1       |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)              |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| tF (s)                       | 3.5    | 3.3  |          |      | 2.2       |            |
| p0 queue free %              | 100    | 100  |          |      | 100       |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)          | 852    | 975  |          |      | 1513      |            |
| Direction, Lane #            | WB 1   | NB 1 | SB 1     |      |           |            |
| Volume Total                 | 0      | 84   | 57       |      |           |            |
| Volume Left                  | 0      | 0    | 0        |      |           |            |
| Volume Right                 | 0      | 0    | 0        |      |           |            |
| cSH                          | 1700   | 1700 | 1513     |      |           |            |
| Volume to Capacity           | 0.03   | 0.05 | 0.00     |      |           |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)        | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |           |            |
| Control Delay (s)            | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |           |            |
| Lane LOS                     | А      |      |          |      |           |            |
| Approach Delay (s)           | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |           |            |
| Approach LOS                 | А      |      |          |      |           |            |
| Intersection Summary         |        |      |          |      |           |            |
| Average Delay                |        |      | 0.0      |      |           |            |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation |      | 7.7%     | IC   | U Level o | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)        |        |      | 15       |      |           |            |

## **Appendix E**

**Opening Year Traffic Operations Reports** 



|                        | ✓     | •    | 1                 | 1    | 1         | Ŧ          |
|------------------------|-------|------|-------------------|------|-----------|------------|
| Movement               | WBL   | WBR  | NBT               | NBR  | SBL       | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations    | ¥     |      | 1.                |      | -         | ្ឋ         |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 15    | 12   | 40                | 24   | 36        | 47         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)  | 15    | 12   | 40                | 24   | 36        | 47         |
| Sign Control           | Stop  |      | Free              |      |           | Free       |
| Grade                  | 0%    |      | 0%                |      |           | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor       | 0.92  | 0 92 | 0.92              | 0 92 | 0 92      | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (yph) | 16    | 13   | <u>0.52</u><br>43 | 26   | 30        | 51         |
| Pedestrians            | 1     | 10   | -10               | 20   | 00        | 01         |
| Lane Width (m)         | 36    |      |                   |      |           |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)    | 1.0   |      |                   |      |           |            |
| Percent Pleekage       | 1.2   |      |                   |      |           |            |
| Percent Diockage       | U     |      |                   |      |           |            |
| Right turn hare (ven)  |       |      | Nere              |      |           | Nore       |
| Median type            |       |      | None              |      |           | None       |
| iviedian storage ven)  |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
| Upstream signal (m)    |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
| pX, platoon unblocked  | 100   |      |                   |      |           |            |
| vC, conflicting volume | 186   | 57   |                   |      | 70        |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol  |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol  |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol     | 186   | 57   |                   |      | 70        |            |
| tC, single (s)         | 6.4   | 6.2  |                   |      | 4.1       |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)        |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
| tF (s)                 | 3.5   | 3.3  |                   |      | 2.2       |            |
| p0 queue free %        | 98    | 99   |                   |      | 97        |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)    | 782   | 1008 |                   |      | 1529      |            |
| Direction, Lane #      | WB 1  | NB 1 | SB 1              |      |           |            |
| Volume Total           | 29    | 69   | 90                |      |           |            |
| Volume Left            | 16    | 0    | 39                |      |           |            |
| Volume Right           | 13    | 26   | 0                 |      |           |            |
| cSH                    | 870   | 1700 | 1529              |      |           |            |
| Volume to Capacity     | 0.03  | 0.04 | 0.03              |      |           |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)  | 0.8   | 0.0  | 0.6               |      |           |            |
| Control Delay (s)      | 93    | 0.0  | 3.3               |      |           |            |
| Lane LOS               | A     | 0.0  | A                 |      |           |            |
| Approach Delay (s)     | 93    | 0.0  | 33                |      |           |            |
| Approach LOS           | A     | 0.0  | 0.0               |      |           |            |
| Intersection Summary   |       |      |                   |      |           |            |
|                        |       |      | 2.0               |      |           |            |
| Average Deidy          | ation |      | 3.0               |      |           | of Convice |
| Analysis Daried (min)  | auon  |      | 21.3%             | IC   | O Level ( | JI Service |
| Analysis Period (min)  |       |      | 15                |      |           |            |

|  | ✓      | •    | 1    | 1    | 1    | ţ          |
|--|--------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Movement                                 | WBL    | WBR  | NBT  | NBR  | SBL  | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations                      | ¥      |      | 1.   |      |      | 4          |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)                   | 32     | 76   | 80   | 52   | 34   | 54         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)                    | 32     | 76   | 80   | 52   | 34   | 54         |
| Sign Control                             | Stop   |      | Free |      | •    | Free       |
| Grade                                    | 0%     |      | 0%   |      |      | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor                         | 0.92   | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)                   | 35     | 83   | 87   | 57   | 37   | 59         |
| Pedestrians                              | 1      | 00   | 01   | 01   | 01   | 00         |
| Lane Width (m)                           | 36     |      |      |      |      |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)                      | 12     |      |      |      |      |            |
| Percent Blockage                         | 0      |      |      |      |      |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)                   | U      |      |      |      |      |            |
| Median type                              |        |      | None |      |      | None       |
| Median storage veh)                      |        |      | NONG |      |      | NOTIC      |
| I Instream signal (m)                    |        |      |      |      |      |            |
| nX nlatoon unblocked                     |        |      |      |      |      |            |
| vC. conflicting volume                   | 250    | 116  |      |      | 145  |            |
| vC1_stage 1_conf_vol                     | 200    | 110  |      |      | 145  |            |
| vC2 stage 2 conf vol                     |        |      |      |      |      |            |
|  | 250    | 116  |      |      | 1/5  |            |
| tC single (s)                            | 6.1    | 62   |      |      | / 1  |            |
| tC, single (s) $tC = 2 \text{ stars}(s)$ | 0.4    | 0.2  |      |      | 7.1  |            |
| tE(e)                                    | 3 5    | 33   |      |      | 0.0  |            |
| n (3)                                    | 0.5    | 0.0  |      |      | 2.2  |            |
| cM canacity (yeb/b)                      | 710    | 035  |      |      | 1/36 |            |
|  | 119    | 300  |      |      | 1400 |            |
| Direction, Lane #                        | WB 1   | NB 1 | SB 1 |      |      |            |
| Volume Total                             | 118    | 144  | 96   |      |      |            |
| Volume Left                              | 35     | 0    | 37   |      |      |            |
| Volume Right                             | 83     | 57   | 0    |      |      |            |
| cSH                                      | 859    | 1700 | 1436 |      |      |            |
| Volume to Capacity                       | 0.14   | 0.08 | 0.03 |      |      |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)                    | 3.8    | 0.0  | 0.6  |      |      |            |
| Control Delay (s)                        | 9.9    | 0.0  | 3.0  |      |      |            |
| Lane LOS                                 | А      |      | А    |      |      |            |
| Approach Delay (s)                       | 9.9    | 0.0  | 3.0  |      |      |            |
| Approach LOS                             | А      |      |      |      |      |            |
| Intersection Summary                     |        |      |      |      |      |            |
|  |        |      | 11   |      |      |            |
| Intersection Consoity Litili-            | ration |      | 4.1  |      |      | of Sonvior |
|  |        |      | 15   | iC   |      |            |
| Analysis Period (min)                    |        |      | 15   |      |      |            |

|                               | •     | *    | 1    | 1    | 1         | Ŧ          |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|--|
| Movement                      | WBL   | WBR  | NBT  | NBR  | SBL       | SBT        |  |
| Lane Configurations           | W.    |      | Ъ.   |      |           | ជ          |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)        | 0     | 0    | 40   | 0    | 0         | 47         |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)         | 0     | 0    | 40   | 0    | 0         | 47         |  |
| Sign Control                  | Stop  |      | Free |      |           | Free       |  |
| Grade                         | 0%    |      | 0%   |      |           | 0%         |  |
| Peak Hour Factor              | 0.92  | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92      | 0.92       |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)        | 0     | 0    | 43   | 0    | 0         | 51         |  |
| Pedestrians                   | 1     |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Lane Width (m)                | 3.6   |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s)           | 1.2   |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Percent Blockage              | 0     |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Right turn flare (veh)        |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Median type                   |       |      | None |      |           | None       |  |
| Median storage veh)           |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Upstream signal (m)           |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked         |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| vC, conflicting volume        | 95    | 44   |      |      | 44        |            |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol         |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol         |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol            | 95    | 44   |      |      | 44        |            |  |
| tC, single (s)                | 6.4   | 6.2  |      |      | 4.1       |            |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s)               |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| tF (s)                        | 3.5   | 3.3  |      |      | 2.2       |            |  |
| p0 queue free %               | 100   | 100  |      |      | 100       |            |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h)           | 904   | 1025 |      |      | 1563      |            |  |
| Direction, Lane #             | WB 1  | NB 1 | SB 1 |      |           |            |  |
| Volume Total                  | 0     | 43   | 51   |      |           |            |  |
| Volume Left                   | 0     | 0    | 0    |      |           |            |  |
| Volume Right                  | 0     | 0    | 0    |      |           |            |  |
| cSH                           | 1700  | 1700 | 1563 |      |           |            |  |
| Volume to Capacity            | 0.03  | 0.03 | 0.00 |      |           |            |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m)         | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |  |
| Control Delay (s)             | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |  |
| Lane LOS                      | А     |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Approach Delay (s)            | 0.0   | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |  |
| Approach LOS                  | А     |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Intersection Summary          |       |      |      |      |           |            |  |
| Average Delay                 |       |      | 0.0  |      |           |            |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliza | ation |      | 7.0% | IC   | U Level o | of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min)         |       |      | 15   |      |           |            |  |

|                             | ✓      | •    | 1    | 1    | 1         | Ŧ          |
|-----------------------------|--------|------|------|------|-----------|------------|
| Movement                    | WBL    | WBR  | NBT  | NBR  | SBL       | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations         | ¥      |      | ۴.   |      |           | វ          |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)      | 0      | 0    | 80   | 0    | 0         | 54         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)       | 0      | 0    | 80   | 0    | 0         | 54         |
| Sign Control                | Stop   | -    | Free | -    | -         | Free       |
| Grade                       | 0%     |      | 0%   |      |           | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor            | 0.92   | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0 92      | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)      | 0.02   | 0.02 | 87   | 0.02 | 0.02      | 59         |
| Pedestrians                 | 1      | Ű    | 01   | Ű    | Ŭ         | 00         |
| Lane Width (m)              | 36     |      |      |      |           |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)         | 1.2    |      |      |      |           |            |
| Percent Blockage            | 0      |      |      |      |           |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)      | Ŭ      |      |      |      |           |            |
| Median type                 |        |      | None |      |           | None       |
| Median storage veh)         |        |      | NONC |      |           | None       |
| Unstream signal (m)         |        |      |      |      |           |            |
| nX nlatoon unblocked        |        |      |      |      |           |            |
| vC. conflicting volume      | 147    | 88   |      |      | 88        |            |
| vC1_stage 1 conf vol        | 177    | 00   |      |      | 00        |            |
| vC2 stage 2 conf vol        |        |      |      |      |           |            |
|                             | 147    | 88   |      |      | 88        |            |
| tC single (s)               | 6.4    | 6.2  |      |      | 4 1       |            |
| tC, 2 stane (s)             | 0.4    | 0.2  |      |      | 7.1       |            |
| tF (s)                      | 3.5    | 33   |      |      | 22        |            |
| n queue free %              | 100    | 100  |      |      | 100       |            |
| cM canacity (veh/h)         | 845    | 969  |      |      | 1506      |            |
|                             | 0+0    | 000  |      |      | 1000      |            |
| Direction, Lane #           | WB 1   | NB 1 | SB 1 |      |           |            |
| Volume Total                | 0      | 87   | 59   |      |           |            |
| Volume Left                 | 0      | 0    | 0    |      |           |            |
| Volume Right                | 0      | 0    | 0    |      |           |            |
| cSH                         | 1700   | 1700 | 1506 |      |           |            |
| Volume to Capacity          | 0.13   | 0.05 | 0.00 |      |           |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)       | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |
| Control Delay (s)           | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |
| Lane LOS                    | А      |      |      |      |           |            |
| Approach Delay (s)          | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0  |      |           |            |
| Approach LOS                | А      |      |      |      |           |            |
| Intersection Summary        |        |      |      |      |           |            |
| Average Delay               |        |      | 0.0  |      |           |            |
| Intersection Capacity Utili | zation |      | 8.2% | IC   | U Level o | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)       |        |      | 15   |      |           |            |

## Appendix F

**Total Traffic Operations Reports** 



|                            | <ul><li>✓</li></ul> | •    | <b>†</b> | 1    | 1         | Ŧ          |
|----------------------------|---------------------|------|----------|------|-----------|------------|
| Movement                   | WBL                 | WBR  | NBT      | NBR  | SBL       | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations        | ¥                   |      | 1.       |      | -         | ្ន         |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)     | 15                  | 12   | 44       | 24   | 36        | 52         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)      | 15                  | 12   | 44       | 24   | 36        | 52         |
| Sian Control               | Stop                |      | Free     |      |           | Free       |
| Grade                      | 0%                  |      | 0%       |      |           | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor           | 0.92                | 0.92 | 0.92     | 0.92 | 0.92      | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)     | 16                  | 13   | 48       | 26   | 39        | 57         |
| Pedestrians                | 1                   |      |          |      |           |            |
| Lane Width (m)             | 3.6                 |      |          |      |           |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)        | 1.2                 |      |          |      |           |            |
| Percent Blockage           | 0                   |      |          |      |           |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)     |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| Median type                |                     |      | None     |      |           | None       |
| Median storage veh)        |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| Upstream signal (m)        |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| pX, platoon unblocked      |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| vC, conflicting volume     | 197                 | 62   |          |      | 75        |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol      |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol      |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol         | 197                 | 62   |          |      | 75        |            |
| tC, single (s)             | 6.4                 | 6.2  |          |      | 4.1       |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)            |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| tF (s)                     | 3.5                 | 3.3  |          |      | 2.2       |            |
| p0 queue free %            | 98                  | 99   |          |      | 97        |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)        | 771                 | 1002 |          |      | 1523      |            |
| Direction, Lane #          | WB 1                | NB 1 | SB 1     |      |           |            |
| Volume Total               | 29                  | 74   | 96       |      |           |            |
| Volume Left                | 16                  | 0    | 39       |      |           |            |
| Volume Right               | 13                  | 26   | 0        |      |           |            |
| cSH                        | 860                 | 1700 | 1523     |      |           |            |
| Volume to Capacity         | 0.03                | 0.04 | 0.03     |      |           |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)      | 0.8                 | 0.0  | 0.6      |      |           |            |
| Control Delay (s)          | 9.3                 | 0.0  | 3.1      |      |           |            |
| Lane LOS                   | А                   |      | А        |      |           |            |
| Approach Delay (s)         | 9.3                 | 0.0  | 3.1      |      |           |            |
| Approach LOS               | А                   |      |          |      |           |            |
| Intersection Summary       |                     |      |          |      |           |            |
| Average Delay              |                     |      | 2.9      |      |           |            |
| Intersection Capacity Util | ization             |      | 21.8%    | IC   | U Level o | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)      |                     |      | 15       |      |           |            |

|                              | 4      | •    | Ť     | ۲    | 1    | Ļ          |  |
|------------------------------|--------|------|-------|------|------|------------|--|
| Movement                     | WBL    | WBR  | NBT   | NBR  | SBL  | SBT        |  |
| Lane Configurations          | ¥.     |      | 4Î    |      |      | र्स        |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)       | 32     | 76   | 88    | 52   | 34   | 60         |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)        | 32     | 76   | 88    | 52   | 34   | 60         |  |
| Sign Control                 | Stop   |      | Free  |      |      | Free       |  |
| Grade                        | 0%     |      | 0%    |      |      | 0%         |  |
| Peak Hour Factor             | 0.92   | 0.92 | 0.92  | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92       |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)       | 35     | 83   | 96    | 57   | 37   | 65         |  |
| Pedestrians                  | 1      |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Lane Width (m)               | 3.6    |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s)          | 1.2    |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Percent Blockage             | 0      |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Right turn flare (veh)       |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Median type                  |        |      | None  |      |      | None       |  |
| Median storage veh)          |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Upstream signal (m)          |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked        |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| vC, conflicting volume       | 264    | 126  |       |      | 154  |            |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol        |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol        |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol           | 264    | 126  |       |      | 154  |            |  |
| tC, single (s)               | 6.4    | 6.2  |       |      | 4.1  |            |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s)              |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| tF (s)                       | 3.5    | 3.3  |       |      | 2.2  |            |  |
| p0 queue free %              | 95     | 91   |       |      | 97   |            |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h)          | 705    | 924  |       |      | 1425 |            |  |
| Direction, Lane #            | WB 1   | NB 1 | SB 1  |      |      |            |  |
| Volume Total                 | 118    | 153  | 102   |      |      |            |  |
| Volume Left                  | 35     | 0    | 37    |      |      |            |  |
| Volume Right                 | 83     | 57   | 0     |      |      |            |  |
| cSH                          | 846    | 1700 | 1425  |      |      |            |  |
| Volume to Capacity           | 0.14   | 0.09 | 0.03  |      |      |            |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m)        | 3.9    | 0.0  | 0.6   |      |      |            |  |
| Control Delay (s)            | 9.9    | 0.0  | 2.9   |      |      |            |  |
| Lane LOS                     | A      |      | A     |      |      |            |  |
| Approach Delay (s)           | 9.9    | 0.0  | 2.9   |      |      |            |  |
| Approach LOS                 | А      |      |       |      |      |            |  |
| Intersection Summary         |        |      |       |      |      |            |  |
|                              |        |      | 3.0   |      |      |            |  |
| Intersection Canacity Litili | zation |      | 31 1% |      |      | of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min)        |        |      | 15    | 10   |      |            |  |
| Analysis Penou (min)         |        |      | 15    |      |      |            |  |

|                              | <ul><li>✓</li></ul> | •    | 1     | 1    | 1       | Ŧ          |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------|-------|------|---------|------------|
| Movement                     | WBL                 | WBR  | NBT   | NBR  | SBL     | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations          | ¥                   |      | 1.    |      |         | ្ន         |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)       | 0                   | 0    | 44    | 0    | 0       | 52         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)        | 0                   | 0    | 44    | 0    | 0       | 52         |
| Sign Control                 | Stop                | •    | Free  | •    |         | Free       |
| Grade                        | 0%                  |      | 0%    |      |         | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor             | 0.92                | 0 92 | 0.92  | 0.92 | 0 92    | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (yph)       | 0.02                | 0.02 | 48    | 0.02 | 0.02    | 57         |
| Pedestrians                  | 1                   | Ū    | -10   | U    | U       | 01         |
| Lane Width (m)               | 36                  |      |       |      |         |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)          | 1.0                 |      |       |      |         |            |
| Percent Blockage             | 1.2                 |      |       |      |         |            |
| Right turn flare (uph)       | 0                   |      |       |      |         |            |
| Median type                  |                     |      | Nono  |      |         | Nono       |
| Median storage yeb           |                     |      | NOTIE |      |         | None       |
|                              |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| Opstream signal (m)          |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| μλ, platoon unblocked        | 100                 | 40   |       |      | 40      |            |
| vC, conflicting volume       | 106                 | 49   |       |      | 49      |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol        |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| VC2, stage 2 cont vol        | 400                 | 40   |       |      | 10      |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol           | 106                 | 49   |       |      | 49      |            |
| tC, single (s)               | 6.4                 | 6.2  |       |      | 4.1     |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)              |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| t⊢ (s)                       | 3.5                 | 3.3  |       |      | 2.2     |            |
| p0 queue free %              | 100                 | 100  |       |      | 100     |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)          | 891                 | 1019 |       |      | 1557    |            |
| Direction, Lane #            | WB 1                | NB 1 | SB 1  |      |         |            |
| Volume Total                 | 0                   | 48   | 57    |      |         |            |
| Volume Left                  | 0                   | 0    | 0     |      |         |            |
| Volume Right                 | 0                   | 0    | 0     |      |         |            |
| cSH                          | 1700                | 1700 | 1557  |      |         |            |
| Volume to Capacity           | 0.03                | 0.03 | 0.00  |      |         |            |
| Queue Length 95th (m)        | 0.0                 | 0.0  | 0.0   |      |         |            |
| Control Delay (s)            | 0.0                 | 0.0  | 0.0   |      |         |            |
| Lane LOS                     | A                   |      |       |      |         |            |
| Approach Delay (s)           | 0.0                 | 0.0  | 0.0   |      |         |            |
| Approach LOS                 | A                   | 0.0  | 0.0   |      |         |            |
|                              |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| Intersection Summary         |                     |      |       |      |         |            |
| Average Delay                |                     |      | 0.0   |      |         |            |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation              |      | 7.0%  | IC   | U Level | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)        |                     |      | 15    |      |         |            |

|                              | <      | •    | <b>†</b> | 1    | 1       | Ŧ          |
|------------------------------|--------|------|----------|------|---------|------------|
| Movement                     | WBL    | WBR  | NBT      | NBR  | SBL     | SBT        |
| Lane Configurations          | ¥      |      | 1.       |      | -       | ្ន         |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h)       | 0      | 0    | 88       | 0    | 0       | 60         |
| Future Volume (Veh/h)        | 0      | 0    | 88       | 0    | 0       | 60         |
| Sian Control                 | Stop   |      | Free     |      |         | Free       |
| Grade                        | 0%     |      | 0%       |      |         | 0%         |
| Peak Hour Factor             | 0.92   | 0.92 | 0.92     | 0.92 | 0.92    | 0.92       |
| Hourly flow rate (vph)       | 0      | 0    | 96       | 0    | 0       | 65         |
| Pedestrians                  | 1      |      |          |      |         |            |
| Lane Width (m)               | 3.6    |      |          |      |         |            |
| Walking Speed (m/s)          | 1.2    |      |          |      |         |            |
| Percent Blockage             | 0      |      |          |      |         |            |
| Right turn flare (veh)       |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| Median type                  |        |      | None     |      |         | None       |
| Median storage veh)          |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| Upstream signal (m)          |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| pX, platoon unblocked        |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| vC, conflicting volume       | 162    | 97   |          |      | 97      |            |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol        |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol        |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| vCu, unblocked vol           | 162    | 97   |          |      | 97      |            |
| tC. single (s)               | 6.4    | 6.2  |          |      | 4.1     |            |
| tC, 2 stage (s)              |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| tF (s)                       | 3.5    | 3.3  |          |      | 2.2     |            |
| p0 queue free %              | 100    | 100  |          |      | 100     |            |
| cM capacity (veh/h)          | 828    | 958  |          |      | 1495    |            |
| Direction Lane #             | W/R 1  | NR 1 | SR 1     |      |         |            |
| Volume Total                 | 0      | 90   | 65       |      |         |            |
| Volume Left                  | 0      |      | 00       |      |         |            |
| Volume Pight                 | 0      | 0    | 0        |      |         |            |
|                              | 1700   | 1700 | 1/05     |      |         |            |
| Volume to Canacity           | 0.13   | 0.06 | 0.00     |      |         |            |
| Ouque Longth 05th (m)        | 0.13   | 0.00 | 0.00     |      |         |            |
| Control Dolay (c)            | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |         |            |
|                              | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |         |            |
| Approach Dolay (c)           | A 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |         |            |
| Approach LOS                 | 0.0    | 0.0  | 0.0      |      |         |            |
| Approach LOS                 | A      |      |          |      |         |            |
| Intersection Summary         |        |      |          |      |         |            |
| Average Delay                |        |      | 0.0      |      |         |            |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | zation |      | 8.6%     | IC   | U Level | of Service |
| Analysis Period (min)        |        |      | 15       |      |         |            |

## **Appendix G**

Left Turn Lane Warrant Nomograph







MTO Left-Turn Lane Nomograph Southbound Left-Turn Lane – AM Peak Hour – Total Traffic

Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue 230368

**Appendix G** 

Exhibit 9A-13





MTO Left-Turn Lane Nomograph Southbound Left-Turn Lane – PM Peak Hour – Total Traffic

Garner Road and Warren Woods Avenue 230368

**Appendix G** 

## **Appendix H**

**OTM Book 12 – Justification 7 Signal Warrant** 



# Signal Justification Calculation for Forecasted Volumes (OTM Book 12 - Justification 7)



| Horizon Year:                  | Total                        | Traffic         |    |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----|--|
| Region/City/Township:          | City of Niagara Falls        | _               |    |  |
| Major Street:<br>Minor Street: | Garner Road<br>Site Driveway | North/South:    | Y  | _  |
| Number of Approach Lanes:      | 1                            | Warrant Results |    |  |
| Tee Intersection?              | Y                            | 150% Satisfied  | No | Justification for new intersections with forecast traffic      |
| Flow Conditions:               | Free                         | 120% Satisfied  | No | Justification for existing intersections with forecast traffic |
| -                              |                              |                 |    |  |

PM Forecast Only? N

|                       |      |          | Мај   | or Stree | t        |       |               |           |           |      |      |           |               |
|-----------------------|------|----------|-------|----------|----------|-------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------|------|-----------|---------------|
| Time Period           |      |          | Gar   | ner Roa  | d        |       | Site Driveway |           |           |      |      |           | Peds Crossing |
|                       | N    | orthboun | d     |          | Southbou | nd    |               | Eastbound | Westbound |      |      | Main Road |               |
|                       | Left | Thru     | Right | Left     | Thru     | Right | Left          | Thru      | Right     | Left | Thru | Right     |               |
| AM Peak Hour          |      | 44       | 24    | 36       | 52       |       |               |           |           | 15   |      | 12        | 0             |
| PM Peak Hour          |      | 88       | 52    | 34       | 60       |       |               |           |           | 32   |      | 76        | 0             |
| Average Hourly Volume | 0    | 33       | 19    | 18       | 28       | 0     | 0             | 0         | 0         | 12   | 0    | 22        | 0             |

#### Warrant 1 - Minimum Vehicular Volume

|    | Approach Lanes  |      | 1          | 2 or 1 | Average     |        |
|----|-----------------|------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|
|    | Elow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free   | Restricted  | Hourly |
| 1A | Flow Conditions | Х    |            |        |             | Volume |
|    |                 | 480  | 720        | 600    | 900         | 131    |
|    | All Apploacties |      |            |        | % Fulfilled | 27.3%  |

|    | Approach Lanes  | 1    |            | 2 or more |             | Average |
|----|-----------------|------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 1B | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free      | Restricted  | Hourly  |
|    |                 | Х    |            |           |             | Volume  |
|    | Minor Street    | 180  | 255        | 180       | 255         | 34      |
|    | Approaches      |      |            |           | % Fulfilled | 18.8%   |

| Warrant   | AHV |
|-----------|-----|
| 1A - All  | 131 |
| 1B - Mino | 34  |
| 2A - Majo | 98  |
| 2B - Cros | 12  |

#### Warrant 2 - Delay To Cross Traffic

|    | Approach Lanes  | 1    |            | 2 or more |             | Average |
|----|-----------------|------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 2A | Flow Conditions | Free | Restricted | Free      | Restricted  | Hourly  |
|    |                 | Х    |            |           |             | Volume  |
|    | Major Street    | 480  | 720        | 600       | 900         | 98      |
|    | Approaches      |      |            |           | % Fulfilled | 20.3%   |

|    | Approach Lanes   | 1    |            | 2 or more |             | Average |
|----|------------------|------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| 2B | Flow Conditions  | Free | Restricted | Free      | Restricted  | Hourly  |
|    |                  | Х    |            |           |             | Volume  |
|    | Traffic Crossing | 50   | 75         | 50        | 75          | 12      |
|    | Major Street     |      |            |           | % Fulfilled | 23.5%   |